

Particle Data for Herwig++

Peter Richardson

- Some people think that the particle data in event generators is simply inputted from the PDG.
- However there is a lot more to it.
- For any but the leptons, lowest lying light mesons and baryons the data in the PDG is far from complete.
- In particular the branching ratios rarely sum to one and are sometimes useless.

f₀(980) ^[/]

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{+})$$

Mass $m=980\pm10$ MeV Full width $\Gamma=40$ to 100 MeV

f₀(980) **DECAY MODES**

Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)

 $\pi \, \pi$ dominant $K \, \overline{K}$ seen $\gamma \gamma$ seen

a₀(980) [/]

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 1^{-}(0^{+})$$

Mass $m=984.7\pm1.2$ MeV (S = 1.5) Full width $\Gamma=50$ to 100 MeV

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{a_0(980) DECAY MODES} & & \text{Fraction } (\Gamma_i/\Gamma) \\ \\ \eta \frac{\pi}{K} & & \text{dominant} \\ K \frac{\pi}{K} & & \text{seen} \\ \\ \gamma \gamma & & \text{seen} \end{array}$

a₁(1260) [m]

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 1^{-}(1^{++})$$

Mass $m=1230\pm40$ MeV ^[n] Full width $\Gamma=250$ to 600 MeV

a ₁ (1260) DECAY MODES	Fraction (Γ_j/Γ)
$(\rho\pi)_{S-wave}$	seen
$(ho\pi)_{D extsf{-}wave}$	seen
$(\rho(1450)\pi)_{S-wave}$	seen
$(ho(1450)\pi)_{D-wave}$	seen
$\sigma\pi$	seen
$f_0(980)\pi$	not seen
$f_0(1370)\pi$	seen
$f_2(1270)\pi$	seen
$K\overline{K}^*(892)$ + c.c.	seen
$\pi \gamma$	seen

- Also what decay modes are included depends on how you simulate the decay.
- If you look at the papers used by the PDG most of the modes for the a₁ come from a CLEO study of three pion tau decays.

which occur with equal rate if isospin is conserved.

• The $\rho\pi$ mode is dominant.

 So if you don't have any special modelling the best thing to do is have the modes.

$$a_1^+ \to \rho^+ \pi^0$$
 $a_1^+ \to \rho^0 \pi^+$

- However for example Herwig++ implements the matrix element from the CLEO fit including all the intermediates in the PDG entry and therefore has three pion modes.
- So the entries in the data tables are generator specific.

- Often we have to make up decay modes to ensure that branching ratios sum to one.
- We even invent particles to ensure that there are complete SU(3,4,5) multiplets of mesons and baryons.
- These choices are made with some physical insight but are arbitrary.

- The PDG tends to be conservative in choices they make.
- For example they do not give branching ratios for the recently discovered D_s states, or for their production in B decays.
- We are forced to interpret the data ourselves to obtain these numbers.

- In the change from FORTRAN to C++ we realised the particle data tables in Herwig had not be updated in some time.
- Also as the last changes were made by a collaborator who has left we didn't understand some of the choices he had made.
- We are also making major improvements to the simulation of the decays.

- Until now the particle data was stored in a text file, or COMMON block, and was hard to edit and read.
- We envisage the C++ being used for a long time and therefore we needed a solution which was easier to maintain.
- In particular we want to be able to store information about why choices were made.

- We have adopted a new solution based on a MySQL database to store the information and comments.
- This allows us to
 - Include comments and other additional information
 - Generate the data files for event generation automatically
 - Allows the data to be viewed and edited more easily via a web interface.

- It also has the advantage that the users will be able to
 - view the particle data in a way they can understand.
 - know what came from the PDG or experimental data and what logic was used to make up the rest.
- Should allow the discussion of different choices.

- The data base is available at
- http://www.ippp.dur.ac.uk/~richardn/particles
- It is currently password protected and as it is in development running on my laptop.
- I have added a temporary account so those people here can look at the information
 - Username guest
 - Password hera-lhc

- Our current plan is that the information in the database will be publicly accessible when the next version of Herwig++ which uses it is released.
- Depending on demand we would be willing to run private copies for the experiments which could be modified or supply the source code.

Event Generation

- There is also a very dangerous trend in particle decays which is to rely solely on EvtGEN.
- We don't think this is wise for other aspects of event generation so why is it for decays?
- We need alternatives with good physics modelling but different choices for the undetermined things.

Event Generation

- Herwig++ includes spin correlations for the hadronic decays in the same way as the FORTRAN had for the shower and perturbative decays, this is the same algorithm as EvtGEN.
- We have made different choices for some things and used data where possible.
- Some of the modelling, i.e. decays of the B^o and B⁺ is less sophisticated.
- Other things, for example taus and baryons, is more sophisticated.

Conclusion

- We have made changes to both the storage and simulation of particle decays.
- This should allow both better simulation of the decays and make clear what choices we have made.
- This is an important aspect of event generation and we should get it right now so we are not left with a bad solution for the next generation of event generators.