

Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

Marek Schönherr

IPPP, Durham University



Overview

1 Introduction

Why and where are EW corrections important?

2 Electroweak corrections for New Physics searches

Setup, subtleties and automation

Selected results

3 Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Three-jet production and R_{32}

4 Electroweak corrections in MCs

Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD multijet merging

5 Conclusions

Why and where are EW corrections important?

1 Introduction

Why and where are EW corrections important?

2 Electroweak corrections for New Physics searches

Setup, subtleties and automation

Selected results

3 Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Three-jet production and R_{32}


4 Electroweak corrections in MCs

Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD multijet merging

5 Conclusions

Available and needed precision

Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert '12



start of the LHC:

- QCD the great unknown
 - NLO QCD automated ✓
 - NLO QCD multijet merging baseline MC for the LHC ✓
 - NNLO QCD where required ✓


LHC Run II and beyond:

- emergence of EW corrections
 - precision measurements (sub)percent accuracy ✗
 - high- p_T distributions tens of percent corrections ✗

Available and needed precision

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS '14

MS '17



start of the LHC:

- QCD the great unknown
 - NLO QCD automated ✓
 - NLO QCD multijet merging
baseline MC for the LHC ✓
 - NNLO QCD where required ✓

LHC Run II and beyond:

- emergence of EW corrections
 - precision measurements
(sub)percent accuracy ✗
 - high- p_T distributions
tens of percent corrections ✗

Electroweak corrections

Precision measurements

Measurement that aim for subpercent experimental accuracy.

→ theoretical predictions must keep pace


Electroweak corrections are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, thus generally of $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$. Roughly, their size can be gauged by $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) \approx \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$.

New physics searches

Search for excesses over SM background in TeV-scale observables that we could not probe until now.

Incomplete infrared cancellations due to broken structure of the EW gauge group introduces logarithms of the scale of the process and that of the EW bosons. This introduces corrections which are negative and logarithmically growing with the size of the kinematic invariants, e.g. p_T . Thus, $\mathcal{O}(20\%)$ corrections possible already for LHC range.


Why care about the tails of distributions?



- new physics searches look for deviations in shape in high- p_T tails or large invariant masses
- EW corrections increase in these tails to tens of percent
 - the level of **accuracy determines achievable discovery potential** and exclusion bounds
 - otherwise precision data cannot be fully exploited

Why and where are EW corrections important?


Why care about the tails of distributions?



- new physics searches look for deviations in shape in high- p_T tails or large invariant masses
- EW corrections increase in these tails to tens of percent
 - the level of **accuracy determines achievable discovery potential** and exclusion bounds
 - otherwise precision data cannot be fully exploited

Why and where are EW corrections important?


Why care about the tails of distributions?



- new physics searches look for deviations in shape in high- p_T tails or large invariant masses
- EW corrections increase in these tails to tens of percent
 - the level of **accuracy determines achievable discovery potential** and exclusion bounds
 - otherwise precision data cannot be fully exploited

Why and where are EW corrections important?

Why care about the tails of distributions?



- new physics searches look for deviations in shape in high- p_T tails or large invariant masses
- EW corrections increase in these tails to tens of percent
 - the level of **accuracy determines achievable discovery potential** and exclusion bounds
 - otherwise precision data cannot be fully exploited

Electroweak corrections

Electroweak correction can often be separated in QED and genuine weak corrections.

Virtual weak corrections often studied in the context of gauge boson and jet production at large transverse momentum (EW-Sudakov suppression). Usually negative and increasing with p_{\perp} .

Real weak corrections usually constitute a separate process. However, largest BR of W/Z bosons is hadronic, thus (almost) indistinguishable in jet production. Nonetheless may constitute signal in itself.

When large scale differences occur resummation is needed in either case. Practically at LHC13/14 these scale differences are moderate.

Beware of subleading orders.

Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

1 Introduction

Why and where are EW corrections important?

2 Electroweak corrections for New Physics searches

Setup, subtleties and automation

Selected results

3 Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Three-jet production and R_{32}


4 Electroweak corrections in MCs

Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD multijet merging

5 Conclusions

Higher order corrections


Example: Vjj production



- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Higher order corrections


Example: Vjj production



- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Higher order corrections


Example: Vjj production



- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Higher order corrections


Example: Vjj production




- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Higher order corrections

Example: Vjj production




- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons




Higher order corrections

Example: Vjj production




- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons ↔ jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons




Higher order corrections

Example: Vjj production




- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons



Higher order corrections


Example: Vjj production



- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Higher order corrections


Example: Vjj production



- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Higher order corrections

Example: Vjj production



- strictly defined only through order counting
- in principle must differentiate between short-distance objects (partons) and long distance objects (observable objects):
 - well known in QCD (quarks, gluons \leftrightarrow jets)
 - introduce similar concepts in EW sector for photons and leptons

Definition of physical objects

What is a jet?

- photons and leptons must be part of a jet, but to what extent?
- **democratic:**
 - + straight forward, always well defined
 - many contributions
 - single photons constitute a jet
 - single leptons constitute a jet
- **anti-tagging jets with certain flavour content:**
 - + fewer contributions
 - needs a lot of care to be well-defined at all contributing orders
 - anti-tag jets with too large photon content
 - anti-tag jets with net lepton content
- which approach is closer to experiment depends on analysis, general anti-tagging must proceed through fragmentation functions

Definition of physical objects

What is a photon?

- differentiate: short-distance photon (photon as parton),
long-distance photon (identified, measurable photon)
- identify through fragmentation function

$$D_\gamma^\gamma(z, \mu) = \frac{\alpha(0)}{\alpha_{\text{sd}}} \delta(1-z) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$$

⇒ leads to $\alpha(0)$ -scheme for identified photons

What is a lepton?

- simplified as leptons not gauge bosons
- dressed lepton: massless leptons must be dressed for IR safety
- bare lepton: massive leptons may be measured bare
- Born lepton: not an infrared-safe concept

Definition of physical objects

What is a photon?

- differentiate: short-distance photon (photon as parton),
long-distance photon (identified, measurable photon)
- identify through fragmentation function

$$D_{\gamma}^{\gamma}(z, \mu) = \frac{\alpha(0)}{\alpha_{\text{sd}}} \delta(1-z) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$$

⇒ leads to $\alpha(0)$ -scheme for identified photons

What is a lepton?

- simplified as leptons not gauge bosons
- **dressed lepton**: massless leptons must be dressed for IR safety
- **bare lepton**: massive leptons may be measured bare
- **Born lepton**: not an infrared-safe concept

Automation

- ⇒ emergence of automated frameworks for NLO EW computations along the principles of NLO QCD automation
- Monte-Carlo frameworks (Born and real emission matrix elements, infrared subtraction, phase space generation, process coordination)
 - SHERPA MS '17
 - MADGRAPH Frederix et.al. '18
 - virtual corrections (EW one-loop matrix elements, renormalisation)
 - GOSAM Chiesa et.al. '15
 - MADLOOP Frixione et.al. '14
 - OPENLOOPS Kallweit et.al. '14
 - RECOLA Actis et.al. '12
 - currently generally limited to fixed-order
 - a number of dedicated calculations and private codes

NLO EW calculations with SHERPA

- SHERPA+OPENLOOPS:

- $pp \rightarrow \gamma/\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu + 0, 1, 2(, 3) \text{ jets}$ FCC report, EW report, LH'15
Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS '14, '15
Lindert et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow Vh$ FCC report '16
- $pp \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS '17
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}/t\bar{t}j$ Gütschow, Lindert, MS '18
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$ LH'15

- SHERPA+GOSAM

- $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + 0, 1, 2 \text{ jets}$ Chiesa et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma / \gamma\gamma\ell\nu / \gamma\gamma\ell\ell$ Greiner, MS '17

- SHERPA+RECOLA

- $pp \rightarrow V + 0, 1, 2 \text{ j}, pp \rightarrow 4\ell, pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$ Biedermann et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow 3\ell 3\nu$ MS '18
- $pp \rightarrow jj/jjj$ Reyer, MS, Schumann '19

NLO EW calculations with SHERPA

- SHERPA+OPENLOOPS:

- $pp \rightarrow \gamma/\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu + 0, 1, 2(, 3) \text{ jets}$ FCC report, EW report, LH'15
Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS '14, '15
Lindert et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow Vh$ FCC report '16
- $pp \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS '17
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}/t\bar{t}j$ Gütschow, Lindert, MS '18
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$ LH'15

- SHERPA+GOSAM

- $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + 0, 1, 2 \text{ jets}$ Chiesa et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma / \gamma\gamma\ell\nu / \gamma\gamma\ell\ell$ Greiner, MS '17

- SHERPA+RECOLA

- $pp \rightarrow V + 0, 1, 2 \text{ j}, pp \rightarrow 4\ell, pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$ Biedermann et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow 3\ell 3\nu$ MS '18
- $pp \rightarrow jj/jjj$ Reyer, MS, Schumann '19

NLO EW calculations with SHERPA

- SHERPA+OPENLOOPS:

- $pp \rightarrow \gamma/\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu + 0, 1, 2(, 3) \text{ jets}$ FCC report, EW report, LH'15
Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS '14, '15
Lindert et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow Vh$ FCC report '16
- $pp \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS '17
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}/t\bar{t}j$ Gütschow, Lindert, MS '18
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$ LH'15

- SHERPA+GOSAM

- $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + 0, 1, 2 \text{ jets}$ Chiesa et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma / \gamma\gamma\ell\nu / \gamma\gamma\ell\ell$ Greiner, MS '17

- SHERPA+RECOLA

- $pp \rightarrow V + 0, 1, 2 \text{ j}, pp \rightarrow 4\ell, pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$ Biedermann et.al. '17
- $pp \rightarrow 3\ell 3\nu$ MS '18
- $pp \rightarrow jj/jjj$ Reyer, MS, Schumann '19


General setup

- work with dressed leptons with $\Delta R_{\text{dress}} = 0.1$
- input parameters for the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned} G_\mu &= 1.16637 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^2 \\ m_W &= 80.385 \text{ GeV} & \Gamma_W &= 2.0897 \text{ GeV} \\ m_Z &= 91.1876 \text{ GeV} & \Gamma_Z &= 2.4955 \text{ GeV} \\ m_h &= 125.0 \text{ GeV} & \Gamma_h &= 0.00407 \text{ GeV} \\ m_t &= 173.2 \text{ GeV} & \Gamma_t &= 1.3394 \text{ GeV} . \end{aligned}$$


- EW parameter renormalisation in G_μ -scheme
- photon induced processes considered throughout

Diphoton production – $\gamma\gamma$




NLO EW corrections to diphoton production

- peak-like enhancement around $m_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 160$ GeV
- induced by W -box creating pseudo-resonant structures
- should be accounted for in data-driven background fits in diphoton resonance searches

Diphoton production – $\gamma\gamma$ 

NLO EW corrections to diphoton production

- peak-like enhancement around $m_{\gamma\gamma} = 2 m_W$
- induced by W -box creating pseudo-resonant structures



- should be accounted for in data-driven background fits in diphoton resonance searches

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – DF and SF

Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS '17


- study $e^+\mu^-\nu\bar{\nu}$ (DF) and $e^+e^-\nu\bar{\nu}$ (SF) production, and $e \leftrightarrow \mu$

DF	$e^+\mu^-\nu_e\bar{\nu}_\mu$	WW
SF	$e^+e^-\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e$	$WW + ZZ$
	$e^+e^-\nu_{\mu/\tau}\bar{\nu}_{\mu/\tau}$	ZZ

- incl. event selection w/ standard lepton acceptance cuts, $(p_{T,\ell} > 20 \text{ GeV}), |\eta_\ell| < 2.5)$, $n_f = 4$ and mild jet veto to suppress large NLO QCD corr.
- similar intricate interplay between different “on-shell” in $3\ell 3\nu$ production at NLO EW

MS '18

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – DF




- large pos. NLO QCD, large neg. NLO EW
 → NLO QCD+EW and NLO QCD \otimes EW differ significantly

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – DF

relative importance of γ -induced channels wrt. NLO QCD \times EW


CT14qed (baseline)
LUXqed

no γ PDF
NNPDF3.0qed



- all γ PDF agree that γ -ind. $> 10\%$ for $p_T > 500$ GeV
- very good agreement between CT14qed and LUXqed

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – SF



- large pos. NLO QCD, large neg. NLO EW
 → NLO QCD+EW and NLO QCD⊗EW differ significantly

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – SF

relative importance of γ -induced channels wrt. NLO QCD \times EW

CT14qed (baseline)
LUXqed

no γ PDF
NNPDF3.0qed


rel. contrs of WW and ZZ subtops

coherent $|WW + ZZ|^2$

incoherent $|WW|^2 + |ZZ|^2$



only $|WW|^2$

only $|ZZ|^2$





- WW dominant throughout, ZZ only contribs 10-20%
→ overall very similar to DF case

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – DF and SF





- ZZ dominant at very large p_T
 \rightarrow different EW corrections, take care when extrapolating

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – SF





- ZZ dominant at very large p_T
 \rightarrow different EW corrections, take care when extrapolating

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – DF and SF



- kinematic suppression for $p_T^{\nu\nu}$ at LO, unlocked at NLO QCD
 not present in γ -induced \Rightarrow large contrib

Diboson production – $2\ell 2\nu$ – SF



- kinematic suppression for $p_T^{\nu\nu}$ for WW , but not ZZ
 ZZ dominates for $MET > 100$ GeV with large EW corr.

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

1 Introduction

Why and where are EW corrections important?

2 Electroweak corrections for New Physics searches

Setup, subtleties and automation

Selected results

3 Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Three-jet production and R_{32}

4 Electroweak corrections in MCs

Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD multijet merging

5 Conclusions

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

W , Z bosons produced with large cross section, and their decay into leptons offers a clear signature.

Large data sets, complemented by additional small data sets in low pile-up environment to control systematic uncertainties, allow for permille level accuracy in the experimental measurement.

Typical precision observables:

$$W - m_W$$

$$Z - \sin \theta_w^{\text{eff}}, A_{\text{FB}}, A_0 \dots A_8$$

To reach the desired theoretical accuracy, many different aspects need to be controlled:

QCD corrections to W/Z production processes



EW (mostly QED) corrections to decay kinematics

parton distributions in the proton

...

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Krauss, Lindert, Linten, MS '18



- soft photon resummation matched to NNLO QED + NLO EW
- permille accuracy in the description of the $Z \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}$ kinematics

Determination of the strong coupling α_s

Typically, α_s at hadron colliders extracted from ratio of three-jet production to two-jet production.

Necessitates precise predictions over large kinematic ranges, from a few tens of GeV to the multi-TeV regime.

Dijet production

- NNLO QCD Currie et.al. '17
- NLO QCD Ellis, Kunszt, Soper '92
Giele, Glover, Kosower '93
- NLO EW and all subl. corrections Moretti, Nolten, Ross '06
Dittmaier, Huss, Speckner '12
Frederix et.al. '16

Three-jet production

- NLO QCD Nagy '01
- NLO EW and all subl. corrections Reyer, MS, Schumann '19

Three-jet production – contributions


- **define jets completely democratically,**
incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$

- **anti-tag jets against leptons**
exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$

Three-jet production – contributions

- **define jets completely democratically,**
incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$


$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$



- **anti-tag jets against leptons**
exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$

Three-jet production – contributions


- **define jets completely democratically,**
 incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$

 $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$


- **anti-tag jets against leptons**
 exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
 care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
 here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$

Three-jet production – contributions


- **define jets completely democratically,**
 incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$

 $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha)$


- **anti-tag jets against leptons**
 exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
 care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
 here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$

Three-jet production – contributions


- **define jets completely democratically,**
incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$



- **anti-tag jets against leptons**
exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$

Three-jet production – contributions


- **define jets completely democratically,**
incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$



- **anti-tag jets against leptons**
exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$


Three-jet production – contributions

- define jets completely democratically, incl. all massless visible particles of the SM (q, g, γ, ℓ)
 $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_i) > 60 \text{ GeV} (i > 1)$



- anti-tag jets against leptons
 exclude jets with net lepton number within lepton acceptance
 care: jet acceptance and lepton acceptance may differ
 here: $|\eta(j)| < 2.8, |\eta(\ell)| < 2.5$


Contributions at NLO




- sensitive to the full SM spectrum, incl. top quark, Higgs boson, all lepton and neutrino flavours
- real emission corrections include: $lvqg$, $llqg$, $llll$, $lllv$ final states

Three-jet production and R_{32}



Leading jet transverse momenta in dijet production





- moderate EW corrections
- overcompensated by subleading orders




Leading jet transverse momenta in 3-jet production



- moderate EW corrections
- overcompensated by subleading orders, can be as large as QCD corr.

Three-jet production and R_{32} $H_T^{(2)}$ 

- NLO EW reduces x-sec. by $\approx 15\%$ at $H_T^{(2)} = 2 \text{ TeV}$
- again, large accidental compensations between NLO EW and subleading orders


Three-jet production and R_{32} R_{32} 

- NLO EW and subleading order contribs very similar between $2j$ and $3j$
 $\Rightarrow R_{32}$ largely unaffected
- supports factorisation of NLO QCD and NLO EW correction at large $H_T^{(2)}$
- scale uncertainty by synchronous scale variation

\Rightarrow safe to use R_{32} with NLO QCD MCs for α_s extraction

Three-jet production and R_{32}


R_{32} in different Δy -slices



- effects already seen in [Dittmaier, Huss, Speckner '12](#)

Three-jet production and R_{32}


R_{32} in different Δy -slices



- slightly different in 3-jet production

Three-jet production and R_{32}

R_{32} in different Δy -slices



- different net effects in different rapidity slices

Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

1 Introduction

Why and where are EW corrections important?

2 Electroweak corrections for New Physics searches

Setup, subtleties and automation

Selected results

3 Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Electroweak corrections for precision measurements

Three-jet production and R_{32}

4 Electroweak corrections in MCs

Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD multijet merging

5 Conclusions

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- tailored to large- p_T regions where EW corrections dominated by virtual W/Z exchange and RG running
- modify MC@NLO \bar{B} -function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}+\text{EW}_{\text{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + V_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + I_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + B_{n,\text{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- tailored to large- p_T regions where EW corrections dominated by virtual W/Z exchange and RG running
- modify MC@NLO \bar{B} -function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}+\text{EW}_{\text{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \mathbf{V}_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + I_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + B_{n,\text{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- tailored to large- p_T regions where EW corrections dominated by virtual W/Z exchange and RG running
- modify MC@NLO \bar{B} -function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}+\text{EW}_{\text{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + V_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + I_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + B_{n,\text{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

approximate integrated real contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- tailored to large- p_T regions where EW corrections dominated by virtual W/Z exchange and RG running
- modify MC@NLO \bar{B} -function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

optionally include subleading Born

$$\bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}+\text{EW}_{\text{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + V_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + I_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + B_{n,\text{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

approximate integrated real contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation


- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- tailored to large- p_T regions where EW corrections dominated by virtual W/Z exchange and RG running
- modify MC@NLO \bar{B} -function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}+\text{EW}_{\text{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \bar{B}_{n,\text{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \underset{\substack{\nearrow \\ \text{exact virtual contribution}}}{V_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n)} + \underset{\substack{\nearrow \\ \text{approximate integrated real contribution}}}{I_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n)} + \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{optionally include subleading Born}}}{B_{n,\text{mix}}(\Phi_n)}$$

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging

Results: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + \text{jets}$

Gütschow, Lindert, MS in '18



- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + 0, 1j@NLO$
+ 2, 3, 4j@LO
- additional LO multiplicities inherit electroweak corrections through MENLOPS differential K -factor
- improved description of data

Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert '10

Conclusions

- electroweak effects are important at LHC, HE-LHC, FCC, etc.
- become large whenever the scale is large compared the EW scale
- can be incorporated in multijet merging to improve description in those regions
 - ⇒ included since SHERPA-2.2.1 (now SHERPA-2.2.8)
- automation of NLO EW follows on the heels of NLO QCD
 - much more care with consistent schemes and order counting
 - very rich phenomenology
 - includes many more pitfalls than NLO QCD
 - ⇒ included in next major SHERPA release (SHERPA-3.0)



<http://sherpa.hepforge.org>

Thank you!

Backup

Top pair production in association with jets



Gütschow, Lindert, MS in '18



Observation: NLO EW factorises from additional jet activity when rather inclusive on jet definition

Top pair production in association with jets

Gütschow, Lindert, MS in '18



Observation: subleading orders important