Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

Marek Schönherr

Lund

01 Mar 2018

Introduction

Electroweak correction come in two variants: virtual corrections and real emission correction.

Virtual electroweak corrections often studied in the context of jet production at large transverse momentum (EW-Sudakov suppression). Usually negative and rising with $p_\perp$.

Real electroweak corrections usually constitute a separate process. However, largest BR of W/Z bosons is hadronic, thus (almost) indistinguishable in jet production. Nonetheless may constitute signal in itself.

When large scale differences occur resummation is needed in either case. Practically at LHC13/14 these scale differences are moderate.

Beware of subleading orders.

Outline

Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

1. Next-to-leading order electroweak corrections
   - Setup and subtleties
   - Selected results
2. Electroweak corrections in MCs
   - Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD merging
   - Selected results
3. Real boson radiation
   - Resummation via EW parton showers
   - Case study: Finding W bosons inside jets
4. Conclusions

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{n+1}\alpha^{m-1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



tree configuration

$pp \to W + 0$ jets

$pp \to W + 1$ jet

$pp \to W + 2$ jets

$pp \to W + 3$ jets

- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(g_s^{n\pm1}e^{m\mp1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{n+1}\alpha^{m-1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



+ two-loop diagrams

tree configuration

$pp \to W + 0$ jets

$pp \to W + 1$ jet

$pp \to W + 2$ jets

$pp \to W + 3$ jets

- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(g_s^{n\pm1} e^{m\mp1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(g_s^{n\pm1}e^{m\mp1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



tree configuration

$\alpha_s^0\alpha^1$ — $pp \to W + 0$ jets

$\alpha_s^1\alpha^1$, $\alpha_s^0\alpha^2$ — $pp \to W + 1$ jet

$\alpha_s^2\alpha^1$, $\alpha_s^1\alpha^2$, $\alpha_s^0\alpha^3$ — $pp \to W + 2$ jets

$\alpha_s^3\alpha^1$, $\alpha_s^2\alpha^2$, $\alpha_s^1\alpha^3$, $\alpha_s^0\alpha^4$ — $pp \to W + 3$ jets

- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(g_s^{n\pm1}e^{m\mp1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(g_s^{n\pm1}e^{m\mp1})$ diagrams, resonances

Consistent setup: counting orders and defining signatures



- NLO QCD: $\alpha_s^1 = 1$ parton, only MEs from squared diagrams
- NLO EW: $\alpha^1 = 1$ photon or 1 parton
  also MEs from interfering $\mathcal{O}(g_s^{n\pm1}e^{m\mp1})$ diagrams, resonances

External photons

- **jet definition:** completely democratic vs. anti-tagging jets with too large photon content
- **democratic:**
  - \+ straight forward, close to experiment for many procs
  - − more subtractions (Born configs with FS photons)
- **anti-tagging jets with too large photon content:**
  dress quarks for collinear safety,
  discard jets if $E_\gamma > z_\text{thr}\, E_\text{jet}$ (e.g. $z_\text{thr} = 0.5$)
  - \+ fewer contributions
  - − difference to experimental jet definition (usually subpercent)

NLO EW subtraction in SHERPA

MS arXiv:1712.07975

- adapt QCD subtraction (spl. fns. and colour-/spin-correlated MEs)

Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi Nucl.Phys.B627(2002)189-265

- replacements: $\alpha_s \to \alpha,\ C_F \to Q_f^2,\ C_A \to 0,$

$$T_R \to N_{c,f}\, Q_f^2,\ n_f\, T_R \to \textstyle\sum_f N_{c,f}\, Q_f^2,$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{T}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{T}_k}{\mathbf{T}_{ij}^2} \to \frac{Q_{ij}\,Q_k}{Q_{ij}^2}$$





NLO EW subtraction in SHERPA

MS arXiv:1712.07975

- adapt QCD subtraction (spl. fns. and colour-/spin-correlated MEs)

  Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi Nucl.Phys.B627(2002)189-265

- replacements: $\alpha_s \to \alpha,\ C_F \to Q_f^2,\ C_A \to 0,$
  $T_R \to N_{c,f}\, Q_f^2,\ n_f\, T_R \to \sum_f N_{c,f}\, Q_f^2,$

$$\frac{\mathbf{T}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{T}_k}{\mathbf{T}_{ij}^2} \to \frac{Q_{ij}Q_k}{Q_{ij}^2}$$



$\sigma_{\rm IRS}$ in dependence on α-parameter



$\sigma_{\rm IRS}$ in dependence on α-parameter

NLO EW subtraction in SHERPA

MS arXiv:1712.07975

- adapt QCD subtraction (spl. fns. and colour-/spin-correlated MEs)

Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi Nucl.Phys.B627(2002)189-265

- replacements: $\alpha_s \to \alpha,\ C_F \to Q_f^2,\ C_A \to 0,$

$$T_R \to N_{c,f}\,Q_f^2,\ n_f\,T_R \to \sum_f N_{c,f}\,Q_f^2,$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{T}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{T}_k}{\mathbf{T}_{ij}^2} \to \frac{Q_{ij}Q_k}{Q_{ij}^2}$$





NLO EW calculations

- SHERPA+OPENLOOPS:
  - $pp \to V + 0, 1, 2(, 3)$ jets — FCC report, arXiv:1607.01831
 EW report arXiv:1606.02330
 LH'15 arXiv:1605.04692
 Kallweit,Lindert,Maierhöfer,Pozzorini,MS JHEP04(2015)012, JHEP04(2016)021
  - $pp \to Zj/pp \to \gamma j$ ratio — LH'15 arXiv:1605.04692
 Kallweit,Lindert,Maierhöfer,Pozzorini,MS arXiv:1505.05704
  - $pp \to \gamma/\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu + j$ — Lindert et.al arXiv:1705.04664
  - $pp \to Vh$ — FCC report, arXiv:1607.01831
  - $pp \to 2\ell 2\nu$ — Kallweit,Lindert,Pozzorini,MS, arXiv:1705.00598
  - $pp \to t\bar{t}/t\bar{t}j$ — Gütschow, Lindert, MS in prep.
  - $pp \to t\bar{t}h$ — LH'15 arXiv:1605.04692
- SHERPA+GOSAM
  - $pp \to \gamma\gamma + 0, 1, 2$ jets — Chiesa et.al. arXiv:1706.09022
  - $pp \to \gamma\gamma\gamma \,/\, \gamma\gamma\ell\nu \,/\, \gamma\gamma\ell\ell$ — Greiner, MS arXiv:1710.11514
  - $pp \to \gamma\gamma b\bar{b}$ — Greiner, MS in prep.
- SHERPA+RECOLA
  - $pp \to V + 0, 1, 2$ j, $pp \to 4\ell$, $pp \to t\bar{t}h$ — Biedermann et.al. arXiv:1704.05783

Tools and setup

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2015)012, JHEP04(2016)021

- OpenLoops for virtual corrections using Collier for tensor integrals
- Sherpa for Born, real em., subtraction and phase space integration, Munich (MEs from OpenLoops) for subtraction and p. s. int.
- combine QCD and EW corrections as:
  QCD+EW: $\sigma_{\text{NLO QCD+EW}} = \sigma_{\text{LO}}\left(1+\delta_{\text{QCD}}+\delta_{\text{EW}}\right)$
  QCD×EW: $\sigma_{\text{NLO QCD}\times\text{EW}} = \sigma_{\text{LO}}\left(1+\delta_{\text{QCD}}\right)\left(1+\delta_{\text{EW}}\right)$
  $\Rightarrow$ use difference as indication of potential size of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s\alpha)$ corrs.
- dress quarks and leptons in $\Delta R = 0.1$,
  if γ in jet, $E_\gamma < \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{jet}}$, discard jet otherwise

$pp \to Wjj$ @ 13 TeV

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



$pp \to Zjj$ @ 13 TeV

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



$pp \to Zjj$ @ 13 TeV

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



→ EW corrections independent of the decay mode

Z/γ ratio @ 8 TeV

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS arXiv:1505.05704



→ EW corrections different for Z and γ

Z/γ ratio @ 8 TeV



Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS for LH'15

- use this ratio to get handle on $p_\perp^Z$ in $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$ for NP searches
- test how well data is described in $Z \to \ell\ell$

⇒ NLO EW improves data description

Z/γ ratio @ 8 TeV



Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS for LH'15

- use this ratio to get handle on $p_\perp^Z$ in $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$ for NP searches
- test how well data is described in $Z \to \ell\ell$

⇒ NLO EW improves data description

Diboson production – DF and SF

Combination of QCD and EW correction

- additive – strict fixed order expansion

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathsf{NLO}}_{\mathsf{QCD+EW}} = \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathsf{LO}} \left(1 + \delta_{\mathsf{QCD}} + \delta_{\mathsf{EW}}\right)$$

- multiplicative – contains terms of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s\alpha)$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathsf{NLO}}_{\mathsf{QCD\times EW}} = \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathsf{LO}} \left(1 + \delta_{\mathsf{QCD}}\right)\left(1 + \delta_{\mathsf{EW}}\right)$$

NLO EW for photon initiated processes

- resolved final state photons should be renormalised on-shell ($\alpha(0)$)
  $\rightarrow$ absorbs IR divergences from $\gamma \to f\bar{f}$ splittings not included
- initial state (and unresolved final state) photons should be renormalised at the hard scale ($\alpha(m_Z)$, G_μ, $\overline{\mathsf{MS}}$, etc.)
  $\rightarrow$ match IR divergences in PDF evolution and collinear counter term

Harland-Lang, Khoze, Ryskin Phys.Lett.B761(2016)20-24
Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS arXiv:1705.00598

Diboson production – DF

Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, MS arXiv:1705.00598



absolute prediction

relative correction wrt. LO

NLO QCD (w/ moderate jet veto)

LO

NLO QCD+EW

NLO QCD×EW

NLO EW

- large pos. NLO QCD, large neg. NLO EW
  → NLO QCD+EW and NLO QCD⊗EW differ significantly

Diboson production – DF

relative importance of γ-induced channels wrt. NLO QCD$\times$EW

CT14qed (baseline)   no γPDF
LUXqed   NNPDF3.0qed



- all γPDF agree that γ-ind. $> 10\%$ for $p_T > 500\,\text{GeV}$
  very good agreement between CT14qed and LUXqed

Diboson production – DF



- *ZZ* dominant at very large p_T
  → different EW corrections, take care when extrapolating

Diboson production – SF





- *ZZ* dominant at very large p_T
  → different EW corrections, take care when extrapolating

Diboson production – DF



- kinematic suppression for $p_T^{\nu\nu}$ at LO, unlocked at NLO QCD
  not present in γ-induced ⇒ large contrib

Diboson production – SF



- kinematic suppression for $p_\mathrm{T}^{\nu\nu}$ for WW, but not ZZ
  ZZ dominates for MET $> 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$ with large EW corr.

Top pair production in association with jets

Gütschow, Lindert, MS in prep.



- $pp \to t\bar{t}$
  NNLO QCD + NLO EW

  Czakon et.al. arXiv:1705.04105
  - include NLO corrections to subleading orders
- $pp \to t\bar{t}j$
  NLO QCD + NLO EW
  - include NLO corrections to subleading orders

Top pair production in association with jets

Gütschow, Lindert, MS in prep.



NLO EW factorises from additional jet activity

Top pair production in association with jets

Gütschow, Lindert, MS in prep.





subleading orders important

Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

1. Next-to-leading order electroweak corrections
    - Setup and subtleties
    - Selected results
2. Electroweak corrections in MCs
    - Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD merging
    - Selected results
3. Real boson radiation
    - Resummation via EW parton showers
    - Case study: Finding W bosons inside jets
4. Conclusions

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- modify MC@NLO $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$-function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathrm{QCD+EW_{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathrm{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{V}_{n,\mathrm{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{I}_{n,\mathrm{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{B}_{n,\mathrm{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging
  → validated at fixed order, found to be reliable,
  diff. $\lesssim 5\%$ for observables not driven by real radiation

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- modify MC@NLO $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$-function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathsf{QCD+EW_{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathsf{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{V}_{n,\mathsf{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{I}_{n,\mathsf{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{B}_{n,\mathsf{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging
  → validated at fixed order, found to be reliable,
  diff. $\lesssim 5\%$ for observables not driven by real radiation

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- modify MC@NLO $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$-function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\text{QCD+EW}_\text{virt}}(\Phi_n) = \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\text{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{V}_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{I}_{n,\text{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{B}_{n,\text{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

approximate integrated real contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging
  → validated at fixed order, found to be reliable,
  diff. $\lesssim 5\%$ for observables not driven by real radiation

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in SHERPA's NLO QCD multijet merging (MEPS@NLO)
- modify MC@NLO $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$-function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

optionally include subleading Born

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathrm{QCD+EW_{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathrm{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{V}_{n,\mathrm{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{I}_{n,\mathrm{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{B}_{n,\mathrm{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

approximate integrated real contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging
  → validated at fixed order, found to be reliable,
  diff. $\lesssim 5\%$ for observables not driven by real radiation

Electroweak corrections in particle-level event generation

- incorporate approximate electroweak corrections in Sherpa's NLO QCD multijet merging (MePs@Nlo)
- modify Mc@Nlo $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$-function to include NLO EW virtual corrections and integrated approx. real corrections

optionally include subleading Born

$$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathrm{QCD+EW_{virt}}}(\Phi_n) = \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{n,\mathrm{QCD}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{V}_{n,\mathrm{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{I}_{n,\mathrm{EW}}(\Phi_n) + \mathrm{B}_{n,\mathrm{mix}}(\Phi_n)$$

exact virtual contribution

approximate integrated real contribution

- real QED radiation can be recovered through standard tools (parton shower, YFS resummation)
- simple stand-in for proper QCD+EW matching and merging
  → validated at fixed order, found to be reliable,
  diff. $\lesssim$ 5% for observables not driven by real radiation

Results: $pp \to \ell^- \bar{\nu} + \text{jets}$

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



⇒ particle level events including dominant EW corrections

Results: $pp \to t\bar{t} + \text{jets}$



Gütschow, Lindert, MS in prep.

- $pp \to t\bar{t} + 0, 1j$@NLO
  $+ 2, 3, 4j$@LO
- additional LO multiplicities inherit electroweak corrections through MENLOPS differential K-factor

  Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert arXiv:1009.1127
- improved description of data

Electroweak corrections for LHC physics

1. Next-to-leading order electroweak corrections
    - Setup and subtleties
    - Selected results
2. Electroweak corrections in MCs
    - Approximate inclusion in NLO QCD merging
    - Selected results
3. Real boson radiation
    - Resummation via EW parton showers
    - Case study: Finding *W* bosons inside jets
4. Conclusions

Collinear limit with $E \gg m$

- QED parton showers well known and available in every major shower
- approximation to collinear (vector) boson emission in limit $E \gg m$, in dipole language (splitter-spectator pairs): $f(s) \to f^{(\prime)} V(s)$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{n+V} = \mathrm{d}\sigma_n \sum_f \sum_s^{n_\mathrm{spec}} \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}z\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{2\pi}\,\frac{1}{n_\mathrm{spec}}\,J(t,z)\,\mathcal{K}_{f(s)\to f^{(\prime)}V(s)}(t,z)$$

- emitter fermion f, suitable spectator s
- flavour change $f \to f'$ in case of W emissions
- IS kernels contain ratio of PDFs (change in x,Q,flavour)
- similar ansatz with diff. kernels in Christiansen, Sjöstrand JHEP04(2014)115
- new developments Chen, Han, Tweedie arXiv:1611.00788
  Bauer, Ferland, Webber JHEP08(2017)036

Splitting kernels

Denner, Hebenstreit unpublished

- use Denner-Hebenstreit expressions modified into CDST form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{f(s)\to f'W(s)}(t,z) &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi t}\left[f_W\, c_\perp^W\, \tilde{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{CDST}}_{f(s)\to f'b(s)}(t,z) + f_h\, c_L^W\, \tfrac{1}{2}\,(1-z)\right]\\ \mathcal{K}_{f(s)\to fZ(s)}(t,z) &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi t}\left[f_Z\, c_\perp^Z\, \tilde{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{CDST}}_{f(s)\to fb(s)}(t,z) + f_h\, c_L^Z\, \tfrac{1}{2}\,(1-z)\right]\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c_\perp^W &= s_{\mathrm{eff}}\,\tfrac{1}{2s_W^2}\,|V_{ff'}|^2\,, & c_\perp^Z &= s_{\mathrm{eff}}\,\tfrac{s_W^2}{c_W^2}\,Q_f^2 + (1-s_{\mathrm{eff}})\,\tfrac{(I_f^3 - s_W^2 Q_f)^2}{s_W^2 c_W^2}\,,\\ c_L^W &= \tfrac{1}{2s_W^2}\,|V_{ff'}|^2\left[s_{\mathrm{eff}}\,\tfrac{m_{f'}^2}{m_W^2} + (1-s_{\mathrm{eff}})\,\tfrac{m_f^2}{m_W^2}\right]\,, & c_L^Z &= \tfrac{I_f^3}{s_W^2}\,\tfrac{m_f^2}{m_W^2}\,,\end{aligned}$$

- couplings $ff^{(\prime)}V$ depend on spin of f, but standard parton showers are spin avaraged (no spin information)
- process dependent avarage spin of fermion line s_{eff}
  $\Rightarrow$ $pp\to jj$: $s_{\mathrm{eff}}=\frac{1}{2}$, $pp\to W$: $s_{\mathrm{eff}}=1$, undefined in general
- factors f_W, f_Z, f_h modify couplings to test sensitivity

Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky Phys.Rev.D89(2014)114006

Can we see radiated W bosons inside jets at the LHC (14 TeV)?

- need high-$p_\perp$ jets to produce real W bosons at sufficient rate
- need high-$p_\perp$ jets to satisfy assumption $E \gg m$

Boosted analysis:

- isolated leptons ($p_\perp > 25$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$, max. 10% in $\Delta R = 0.2$)
- find jets (anti-$k_\perp$, $R = 1.5$, $p_\perp > 200$ GeV) on remainder
- two cases: no isolated leptons ⇒ hadronic analysis
  one isolated lepton ⇒ leptonic analysis
- require further two jets with $p_\perp > 500, 750, 1000$ GeV to drive W radiation into collinear region

Hadronic analysis

- recluster fat jets into C/A ($R = 0.3$, $p_\perp > 20$ GeV) microjets
- discard leading microjet as likely from leading quark
- use m_{23} as em. gluons tend to be softer then decay prod. of em. W
- accept candidate if $m_{23} \in [70, 86]$ GeV



⇒ large, but continuous QCD background, clear signal shape

⇒ more W emissions with hight $p_\perp$, but peak shifts

Hadronic analysis

- recluster fat jets into C/A ($R = 0.3$, $p_\perp > 20$ GeV) microjets
- discard leading microjet as likely from leading quark
- use m_{23} as em. gluons tend to be softer then decay prod. of em. W
- accept candidate if $m_{23} \in [70, 86]$ GeV



$\Rightarrow$ large, but continuous QCD background, clear signal shape

$\Rightarrow$ more W emissions with hight $p_\perp$, but peak shifts

Hadronic analysis

- recluster fat jets into C/A ($R = 0.3$, $p_\perp > 20$ GeV) microjets
- discard leading microjet as likely from leading quark
- use m_{23} as em. gluons tend to be softer then decay prod. of em. *W*
- accept candidate if $m_{23} \in [70, 86]$ GeV



$\Rightarrow$ large, but continuous QCD background, clear signal shape

$\Rightarrow$ more *W* emissions with hight $p_\perp$, but peak shifts

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly 1j, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly $1j$, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly 1j, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly 1j, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly $1j$, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly $1j$, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100$ GeV
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100$ GeV
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly $1j$, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100$ GeV
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100$ GeV
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly $1j$, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100$ GeV
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100$ GeV
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly 1j, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\text{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\text{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly 1j, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  → possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Measure coll. W emissions, simplified from
Krauss, Petrov, MS, Spannowsky PRD89(2014)114006

LHC@8TeV, $p_\perp^{j_1} > 500\,\mathrm{GeV}$, central μ and jet

- LO $pp \to Wj$ with $\Delta\phi(\mu, j) \approx \pi$
- NLO corrections neg. in peak
  large $pp \to Wjj$ component opening PS
- subleading Born (γPDF) imp. at large ΔR
- restrict to exactly $1j$, no $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\text{GeV}$
- describe $pp \to Wjj$ @ NLO, $p_\perp^{j_2} > 100\,\text{GeV}$
- pos. NLO QCD, neg. NLO EW, $\sim$ flat
- subleading Born contribs positive
- sub^{2}leading Born (diboson etc) conts. pos.
  $\to$ possible double counting with BG
- merge using exclusive sums

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Data comparison

M. Wu ICHEP'16, ATLAS arXiv:1609.07045

- ALPGEN+PYTHIA
  $pp \to W +$ jets MLM merged
  Mangano et.al. JHEP07(2003)001
- PYTHIA 8
  $pp \to Wj$ + QCD shower
  $pp \to jj$ + QCD+EW shower
  Christiansen, Prestel EPJC76(2016)39
- SHERPA+OPENLOOPS
  NLO QCD+EW+subLO
  $pp \to Wj/Wjj$ excl. sum
  Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021
- NNLO QCD $pp \to Wj$
  Boughezal, Liu, Petriello arXiv:1602.06965

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Data comparison

M. Wu ICHEP'16, ATLAS arXiv:1609.07045

- Alpgen+Pythia
  $pp \to W +$ jets MLM merged
  Mangano et.al. JHEP07(2003)001
- Pythia 8
  $pp \to Wj$ + QCD shower
  $pp \to jj$ + QCD+EW shower
  Christiansen, Prestel EPJC76(2016)39
- Sherpa+OpenLoops
  NLO QCD+EW+subLO
  $pp \to Wj/Wjj$ excl. sum
  Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021
- NNLO QCD $pp \to Wj$
  Boughezal, Liu, Petriello arXiv:1602.06965

NLO EW predictions for $\Delta R(\mu, j_1)$



Data comparison

M. Wu ICHEP'16, ATLAS arXiv:1609.07045

- ALPGEN+PYTHIA
  $pp \to W +$ jets MLM merged
  Mangano et.al. JHEP07(2003)001
- PYTHIA 8
  $pp \to Wj$ + QCD shower
  $pp \to jj$ + QCD+EW shower
  Christiansen, Prestel EPJC76(2016)39
- SHERPA+OPENLOOPS
  NLO QCD+EW+subLO
  $pp \to Wj/Wjj$ excl. sum
  Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021
- NNLO QCD $pp \to Wj$
  Boughezal, Liu, Petriello arXiv:1602.06965

Conclusions

- electroweak effects are important at LHC, HE–LHC, FCC, etc.
- become large whenever the scale is large compared the EW scale
- can be incorporated in multijet merging to improve description in those regions
  ⇒ included since SHERPA-2.2.1 (now SHERPA-2.2.4)
- automation of NLO EW follows on the heels of NLO QCD
  → much more care with consistent schemes and order counting
  → very rich phenomenology
  → includes many more pitfalls than NLO QCD
  ⇒ included in next major SHERPA release
- EW parton showers suffer from strong spin depedence of W/Z emission as parton showers are usually do not have spin information
  ⇒ not included in SHERPA puclic release

Thank you for your attention!

Backup

$pp \to Wj$ @ 13 TeV

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



- NLO QCD to $p_T^{j_1}$ dominated by hard dijet topologies
  → LO, no EW corr.
  Rubin, Salam, Sapeta JHEP09(2010)084
  → need merging
- remove dijet configs through $\Delta\phi_{j_1 j_2} < \frac{3}{4}\pi$
  → EW Sudakov recovered

$pp \to Wj$ @ 13 TeV

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



- NLO QCD to $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_1}$ dominated by hard dijet topologies
  → LO, no EW corr.
  Rubin, Salam, Sapeta JHEP09(2010)084
  → need merging
- remove dijet configs through $\Delta\phi_{j_1j_2} < \frac{3}{4}\pi$
  → EW Sudakov recovered

Example: Forward-backward asymetry @ Tevatron

Höche, Huang, Luisoni, MS, Winter Phys.Rev.D88(2013)1,014040



Chose two different μ_{core} → largest impact

Electroweak histories not an issue, but merging works nicely

Recent NNLO+NNLL results: Forward-backward asymetry @ Tevatron

Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov arXiv:1411.3007



MEPS@NLO result very well reproduced by higher order calculation

Merging systematics: $pp \to \ell^-\bar{\nu} + \text{jets}$

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



$\Rightarrow$ dead zones in incl. obs. if Q_{cut} too high

Merging systematics: $pp \to \ell^- \bar{\nu} + \text{jets}$

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



$\Rightarrow$ dead zones in incl. obs. if Q_{cut} too high

Merging systematics: $pp \to \ell^-\bar{\nu} + \text{jets}$

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



⇒ dead zones in incl. obs. if Q_{cut} too high

Merging systematics: $pp \to \ell^- \bar{\nu} + \text{jets}$

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



$\Rightarrow$ dead zones in incl. obs. if Q_{cut} too high

Merging systematics: $pp \to \ell^- \bar{\nu} + \text{jets}$

Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, MS JHEP04(2016)021



⇒ TeV region stable ($\lesssim 5\%$), $Q_{\text{cut}} = 20\,\text{GeV}$ suitable for whole range

QCD multijet merging – LO case



Parton showers

resumption of (soft-)collinear limit
→ intrajet evolution

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS

QCD multijet merging – LO case



Matrix elements

fixed-order in α_s
$\rightarrow$ hard wide-angle emissions
$\rightarrow$ interference terms

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS

QCD multijet merging – LO case



MEPS (CKKW,MLM)

Catani, Krauss, Kuhn, Webber JHEP11(2001)063
Lönnblad JHEP05(2002)046
Höche, Krauss, Schumann, Siegert JHEP05(2009)053

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS

QCD multijet merging – NLO case



NLOPS (MC@NLO,POWHEG)

Frixione, Webber JHEP06(2002)029
Nason JHEP11(2004)040, Frixione et.al. JHEP11(2007)070
Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP09(2012)049

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS

QCD multijet merging – NLO case



MENLOPS

Hamilton, Nason JHEP06(2010)039
Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP08(2011)123
Gehrmann, Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP01(2013)144

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS

QCD multijet merging – NLO case



MEPS@NLO

Lavesson, Lönnblad JHEP12(2008)070
Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP04(2013)027
Gehrmann, Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP01(2013)144
Lönnblad, Prestel JHEP03(2013)166
Plätzer JHEP08(2013)114

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS
- MEPS@NLO combines multiple NLOPS – keeping either accuracy

QCD multijet merging – NLO case



MEPS@NLO

Lavesson, Lönnblad JHEP12(2008)070
Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP04(2013)027
Gehrmann, Höche, Krauss, MS, Siegert JHEP01(2013)144
Lönnblad, Prestel JHEP03(2013)166
Plätzer JHEP08(2013)114

- matrix elements (ME) and parton showers (PS) are approximations in different regions of phase space
- MEPS combines multiple LOPS – keeping either accuracy
- NLOPS elevate LOPS to NLO accuracy
- MENLOPS supplements core NLOPS with higher multiplicities LOPS
- MEPS@NLO combines multiple NLOPS – keeping either accuracy

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS, restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + \text{2jets}$ for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS , restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\mathrm{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h +$ jet for $Q_1 > Q_{\mathrm{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h +$ jet to $Q_2 < Q_{\mathrm{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h +$ 2jets for $Q_2 > Q_{\mathrm{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS , restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h +$ jet for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h +$ jet to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h +$ 2jets for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS , restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h +$ jet for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h +$ jet to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h +$ 2jets for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS, restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + 2\text{jets}$ for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS , restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + 2\text{jets}$ for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS , restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + 2\text{jets}$ for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

MEPS@NLO



- first emission by NLOPS , restrict to $Q_1 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ for $Q_1 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- restrict emission off $pp \to h + \text{jet}$ to $Q_2 < Q_{\text{cut}}$
- NLOPS $pp \to h + 2\text{jets}$ for $Q_2 > Q_{\text{cut}}$
- iterate
- sum all contribs

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower $\rightarrow$ flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_I
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\text{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower → flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_I
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\text{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower → flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_I
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\text{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower → flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_I
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\text{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower → flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_l
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\text{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower → flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_I
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\text{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

Example: Drell-Yan production in association with jets



- cluster external particles using inverse parton shower → flavour conscious, initial state aware, probability determined through splitting kernels
- identify a shower history (probabilistically), determine scale t_i up to predefined t_l
- choose

$$\alpha_s^{n+k}(\mu_R^2) = \alpha_s^k(\mu_{\mathrm{core}}^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_s(t_i)$$

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

ME also provides expression beyond t_l
two types of configuration: $pp \to Z$+jets and $pp \to$jets$+Z$



- different core process, naïvely not part of $pp \to Z$+jets but indistinguishable
- configuration that would have arisen from dijets plus QCD+EW showering
- necessitates EW splitting kernels to calculate splitting probability
- leads to different scale choices and Sudakov factors

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

ME also provides expression beyond t_I
two types of configuration: $pp \to Z+$jets and $pp \to$jets$+Z$



- different core process, naïvely not part of $pp \to Z+$jets but indistinguishable
- configuration that would have arisen from dijets plus QCD$+$EW showering
- necessitates EW splitting kernels to calculate splitting probability
- leads to different scale choices and Sudakov factors

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

ME also provides expression beyond t_I
two types of configuration: $pp \to Z$+jets and $pp \to$jets$+Z$



- different core process, naïvely not part of $pp \to Z$+jets but indistinguishable
- configuration that would have arisen from dijets plus QCD+EW showering
- necessitates EW splitting kernels to calculate splitting probability
- leads to different scale choices and Sudakov factors

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

ME also provides expression beyond t_l
two types of configuration: $pp \to Z+$jets and $pp \to$jets$+Z$



- different core process, naïvely not part of $pp \to Z+$jets but indistinguishable
- configuration that would have arisen from dijets plus QCD+EW showering
- necessitates EW splitting kernels to calculate splitting probability
- leads to different scale choices and Sudakov factors

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history

ME also provides expression beyond t_I
two types of configuration: $pp \to Z$+jets and $pp \to$jets$+Z$



- different core process, naïvely not part of $pp \to Z$+jets but indistinguishable
- configuration that would have arisen from dijets plus QCD+EW showering
- necessitates EW splitting kernels to calculate splitting probability
- leads to different scale choices and Sudakov factors

QCD multijet merging – identifying a history


vs.

Importance of electroweak clustering



⇒ large impact at high $p_\perp$ and multiplicity

Importance of electroweak clustering



Hadronic analysis

- use event shape variables on microjets of reconstructed W candidate to enhance S/B, e.g. ellipticity

$$\hat{t} \;=\; \frac{T_\mathrm{min}}{T_\mathrm{maj}}$$

→ small when radiation pattern is 1D ($W \to q\bar{q}$)

- fat jet $p_\perp > 750$ GeV optimal best balance between cross section and emission rate

⇒ additional discrimination



Hadronic analysis

Can we distinguish between $f = 1$ and $f = 2$?
(simplified version of: How accurate can we measure the coupling?)



- signal: $f = 2$, background: $f = 1$ (SM)
- moderate sensitivity even under ideal conditions
  benefits from larger emission at large $p_\perp$ despite smaller cross section

Leptonic analysis

Can we distinguish between $f = 1$ and $f = 1.1$?
(simplified version of: How accurate can we measure the coupling?)



- signal: $f = 1.1$, background: $f = 1.0$ (SM)
- improved sensitivity, despite small cross sections, benefits from ideal background rejection