

Theoretical Physics II B – Quantum Mechanics

Lecture 15

Frank Krauss

5.3.2013

Solutions to previous control questions

14.1 Proving three identities:

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\underline{a}} \cdot \hat{\underline{a}})(\hat{\underline{a}} \cdot \hat{\underline{b}}) &= \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j (\hat{\sigma}_i \hat{\sigma}_j) = \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j \left(\frac{1}{2} [\hat{\sigma}_i, \hat{\sigma}_j] + \frac{1}{2} \{\hat{\sigma}_i, \hat{\sigma}_j\} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j (i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\sigma}_k + \delta_{ij}) = i (\underline{a} \times \underline{b}) \cdot \hat{\underline{a}} + \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} \hat{\mathbf{1}}.\end{aligned}$$

With $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$ this becomes $|\underline{a}|^2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{1}}$.

Using this result and $|\underline{n}|^2 = 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(-\frac{i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n}\phi}{2}\right) &= \hat{\mathbf{1}} + \frac{1}{1!} \left(-\frac{i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n}\phi}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(-\frac{i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n}\phi}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left(-\frac{i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n}\phi}{2}\right)^3 + \dots \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{1}} - i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n} \left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2!} \hat{\mathbf{1}} \left(-\frac{\phi}{2}\right)^2 + i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n} \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right)^3 + \dots \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{1}} \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n} \sin \frac{\phi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Explicit calculations yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{U} &= [a_0 + i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{a}] [a_0 - i\hat{\sigma} \cdot \underline{a}]^{-1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + ia_3 & ia_1 + a_2 \\ ia_1 - a_2 & a_0 - ia_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + ia_3 & ia_1 + a_2 \\ ia_1 - a_2 & a_0 - ia_3 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_0^2 - a_3^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2 + 2ia_0 a_3}{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2} & \frac{2a_0[ia_1 + a_2]}{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2} \\ \frac{2a_0[ia_1 - a_2]}{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2} & \frac{a_0^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_3^2 - 2ia_0 a_3}{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Reading of a and b and squaring indeed results in

$$|a|^2 + |b|^2 = \frac{(a_0^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_3^2)^2 + 4a_0^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)}{(a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)^2} = 1,$$

and hence the operator \hat{U} is unimodular.

14.2 (a) Represent $\hat{J}_y = \frac{1}{2i}(\hat{J}_+ - \hat{J}_-)$ and realise that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 1m' | \hat{J}_y | 1m \rangle &= \frac{1}{2i} [\langle 1m' | \hat{J}_+ | 1m \rangle - \langle 1m' | \hat{J}_- | 1m \rangle] \\&= -i \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} [\delta_{m'(m-1)} - \delta_{m'(m+1)}] \\&= -i \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(b) Use the explicit form of \hat{J}_y from (a):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_y^2 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{J}_y^3 &= i \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\hbar^2 \hat{J}_y.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with the superscript $(j=1)$ understood,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \exp\left(-\frac{i\hat{J}_y\beta}{\hbar}\right) \\
 &= \hat{1} - \hat{J}_y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} \left(\frac{i\beta}{\hbar}\right)^{2n+1} + \hat{J}_y^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{2n}}{(2n+2)!} \left(\frac{i\beta}{\hbar}\right)^{2n+2} \\
 &= \hat{1} - \frac{i\hat{J}_y}{\hbar} \sin\beta + \frac{\hat{J}_y^2}{\hbar^2} (1 - \cos\beta),
 \end{aligned}$$

as demanded.

(c) Using this, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle m' \left| \exp\left(-\frac{i\hat{J}_y\beta}{\hbar}\right) \right| m \right\rangle \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\beta) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\beta & -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\beta) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\beta & 1 - (1 - \cos\beta) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\beta \\ -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\beta) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\beta & 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\beta) \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

as demanded.

Reminder: Commutation relations etc.

- Remember the commutation relations

$$[\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{J}_k, \quad [\hat{J}^2, \hat{J}_k] = 0$$

and the ladder operators $\hat{J}_{\pm} = \hat{J}_x \pm i\hat{J}_y$ with

$$[\hat{J}_+, \hat{J}_-] = 2\hbar\hat{J}_z, \quad [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_{\pm}] = \pm\hbar\hat{J}_{\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{J}^2, \hat{J}_{\pm}] = 0.$$

- Eigenvalues and eigenkets read

$$\hat{J}^2 |jm\rangle = j(j+1)\hbar^2 |jm\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{J}_z |jm\rangle = m\hbar |jm\rangle.$$

- j and m are non-negative are integers or half-integers, and

$$m \in \{-j, -j+1, -j+2, \dots, j-1, j\}.$$

Orbital angular momentum operators

- Ignoring the spin of a particle, its angular momentum equals its orbital angular momentum, in analogy to classical mechanics it is

$$\underline{\hat{L}} = \underline{\hat{x}} \times \underline{\hat{p}}.$$

- Direct calculation shows that $\underline{\hat{L}}$ enjoys the same commutation relations as $\underline{\hat{J}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y] &= [\hat{y}\hat{p}_z - \hat{z}\hat{p}_y, \hat{z}\hat{p}_x - \hat{x}\hat{p}_z] \\ &= [\hat{y}\hat{p}_z, \hat{z}\hat{p}_x] - \underbrace{[\hat{y}\hat{p}_z, \hat{x}\hat{p}_z]}_{=0} - \underbrace{[\hat{z}\hat{p}_y, \hat{z}\hat{p}_x]}_{=0} + [\hat{z}\hat{p}_y, \hat{x}\hat{p}_z] \\ &= \hat{y}\hat{p}_x \underbrace{[\hat{p}_z, \hat{z}]}_{-i\hbar} + \hat{p}_y \hat{x} \underbrace{[\hat{z}, \hat{p}_z]}_{i\hbar} = i\hbar(\hat{x}\hat{p}_y - \hat{y}\hat{p}_x) = i\hbar\hat{L}_z \end{aligned}$$

and similar for the other combinations such that $[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{L}_k$.

Orbital angular momentum operators and spatial rotations

- Try to identify the \hat{L}_i with generators of spatial rotations.
- Write the generator of an infinitesimal rotation around the z-axis as

$$\hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i\delta\phi}{\hbar} \hat{L}_z = \hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i\delta\phi}{\hbar} (\hat{x}\hat{p}_y - \hat{y}\hat{p}_x)$$

and let this operator act on a position eigenket $|\underline{x}\rangle = |x, y, z\rangle$:

$$\left[\hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i\delta\phi}{\hbar} (\hat{x}\hat{p}_y - \hat{y}\hat{p}_x) \right] |x, y, z\rangle = |x - y\delta\phi, y + x\delta\phi, z\rangle$$

and on an arbitrary state ket $|\psi\rangle$:

$$\left\langle x, y, z \left| \left[\hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i\delta\phi}{\hbar} (\hat{x}\hat{p}_y - \hat{y}\hat{p}_x) \right] \right| \psi \right\rangle = \langle x + y\delta\phi, y - x\delta\phi, z | \psi \rangle$$

Differential forms of the operators

- The action on a position eigenket can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i\delta\phi}{\hbar} \hat{L}_z \right] \left| r \sin \theta \cos \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \theta \right\rangle \\ &= \left| r \sin \theta (\cos \phi - \delta\phi \sin \phi), r \sin \theta (\sin \phi + \delta\phi \cos \phi), r \cos \theta \right\rangle \\ &= \left(1 + \delta\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \left| r \sin \theta \cos \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \theta \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, rewriting the cartesian as polar coordinates results in

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle r, \theta, \phi \left| \left[\hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i\delta\phi}{\hbar} \hat{L}_z \right] \right| \psi \right\rangle &= \langle r, \theta, \phi - \delta\phi | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle r, \theta, \phi | \psi \rangle - \delta\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \langle r, \theta, \phi | \psi \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

- Repeating the same exercise for rotations around the x and y axis by expressing the position eigenket in spherical coordinates results in

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}_x | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \left(-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}_y | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \left(\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}_z | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle .$$

- With $\hat{L}_{\pm} = \hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y$ and $e^{\pm i\phi} = \cos \phi \pm i \sin \phi$ this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}_{\pm} | \psi \rangle &= \langle \underline{x} | [\hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y] | \psi \rangle \\
 &= -i\hbar \left[(-\sin \phi \pm i \cos \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta (\cos \phi \pm i \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right] \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle \\
 &= -i\hbar e^{\pm i\phi} \left(\pm i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

- Using $\hat{L}^2 = \hat{L}_z^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- + \hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+)$ yields

(Don't forget to let the differential operators act on everything to the right!)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}^2 | \psi \rangle &= \left\langle \underline{x} \left| \left[\hat{L}_z^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- + \hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+) \right] \right| \psi \right\rangle \\
 &= -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \cot \theta \frac{2\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot^2 \theta \frac{2\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) \right] \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle \\
 &= -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle ,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\cot \theta = \cos \theta / \sin \theta$ has been used.

- Note that up to a factor of $1/r^2$ this last expression is nothing but the angular-dependent part of the Laplacian expressed in polar coordinates.

$\hat{\underline{L}}^2$ and the Laplacian operator

- First realise that

$$\hat{\underline{L}}^2 = \hat{\underline{x}}^2 \hat{\underline{p}}^2 - (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}})^2 + i\hbar (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}}) .$$

- Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\underline{L}}^2 &= (\hat{\underline{x}} \times \hat{\underline{p}})^2 = \sum_{ijkl} \epsilon_{aij} \epsilon_{akl} \hat{x}_i \hat{p}_j \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l = \sum_{ijkl} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) \hat{x}_i \hat{p}_j \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l \\ &= \sum_{ijkl} \left[\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \hat{x}_i \left(\hat{x}_k \hat{p}_j - i\hbar \delta_{kj} \right) \hat{p}_l - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \hat{x}_i \hat{p}_j \left(\hat{p}_l \hat{x}_k + i\hbar \delta_{kl} \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{\underline{x}}^2 \hat{\underline{p}}^2 - i\hbar (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}}) - \sum_{ijkl} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \left[i\hbar \delta_{kl} \hat{x}_i \hat{p}_j + \hat{x}_i \hat{p}_l \left(\hat{x}_k \hat{p}_j + i\hbar \delta_{kj} \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{\underline{x}}^2 \hat{\underline{p}}^2 - 2i\hbar (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}}) - (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}})^2 + \underbrace{\delta_{kk}}_{=3} i\hbar (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}}) \end{aligned}$$

- Now use

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}} | \psi \rangle &= \underline{x} \cdot \langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{p}} | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \underline{x} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle \\
 \langle \underline{x} | (\hat{\underline{x}} \cdot \hat{\underline{p}})^2 | \psi \rangle &= -\hbar^2 \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^2 \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle = -\hbar^2 \left(r^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle \\
 \langle \underline{x} | (\hat{\underline{x}}^2 \hat{\underline{p}}^2) | \psi \rangle &= \underline{x}^2 \langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{p}}^2 | \psi \rangle = -\hbar^2 r^2 \nabla^2 \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

- This then yields that

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{L}}^2 | \psi \rangle = r^2 \langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{p}}^2 | \psi \rangle + \hbar^2 \left(r^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle$$

and therefore the kinetic energy can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2m} \langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{p}}^2 | \psi \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} \langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{L}}^2 | \psi \rangle \right]$$

Learning outcomes

- Orbital angular momentum as realisation of general angular momentum.
- Differential form of operators
- Rewriting the Laplacian and connection to angular part of Laplacian in spherical coordinates

Control questions

- 15.1 Use the fundamental commutation relation $[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \mathbf{1} \delta_{ij}$
(a) to show that the operators

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_{m=\pm 1}^{(l=1)} &= \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{x}_1 \pm i\hat{x}_2) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \hat{R} Y_{1\pm 1}(\theta, \phi) \\ \hat{R}_{m=0}^{(l=1)} &= \hat{x}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \hat{R} Y_{10}(\theta, \phi)\end{aligned}$$

fulfil the following commutation relations with components of the orbital angular momentum operator,

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{L}_{\pm}, \hat{R}_q^{(1)}] &= \sqrt{(1 \mp q)(2 \pm q)} \hbar \hat{R}_{q\pm 1}^{(1)} \\ [\hat{L}_3, \hat{R}_q^{(1)}] &= q\hbar \hat{R}_q^{(1)},\end{aligned}$$

where $q = 0, \pm 1$.

(Note that in the definitions of the \hat{R}_q above the spherical harmonics are just added to make some contact with the material in lecture 16 - you do not need to use them for this problem.)

Control questions

- (b) to show that $\hat{\underline{R}}^2 = \hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2 + \hat{x}_3^2$ is a rotationally invariant operator with respect to orbital angular momentum, i.e. that

$$[\hat{L}_i, \hat{\underline{R}}^2] = 0.$$

15.2 Check that indeed

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}_x | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \left(-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{L}_y | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \left(\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \underline{x} | \hat{\underline{L}}^2 | \psi \rangle = -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] \langle \underline{x} | \psi \rangle ,$$