1st AEI Workshop & KIAS Workshop, Jeju Island, 3-8 November 2019

Large effects from small QCD instantons: soft bombs @ hadron colliders



Valya Khoze

IPPP Durham



with Frank Krauss (IPPP) & Matthias Schott (Mainz) [1st part of the forthcoming paper]

Warm-up: Electro-Weak Instantons



$$q + q \rightarrow 7\bar{q} + 3\bar{l} + n_W W + n_Z Z + n_h H$$

Warm-up: Electro-Weak Instantons

• All instanton contributions come with an exponential suppression due to the instanton action:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{inst}} \propto e^{-S^{\text{inst}}} = e^{-2\pi/\alpha_w - \pi^2 \rho^2 v^2}, \quad \sigma^{\text{inst}} \propto e^{-4\pi/\alpha_w} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-162}$$

- This is precisely the expected semiclassical price to pay for a quantum mechanical tunnelling process.
- At leading order, the instanton acts as a point-like vertex with a large number n of external legs => n! factors in the amplitude.

$$q + q \to 7\bar{q} + 3\bar{l} + n_W W + n_Z Z + n_h H$$

 As the number of W's, Z's and H's produced in the final state at sphaleronlike energies is allowed to be large, ~ 1/alpha, the instanton cross-section receives exponential enhancement with energy

Ringwald 1990; McLerran, Vainshtein, Voloshin 1990;

QCD Instantons

4

- Yang-Mills vacuum has a nontrivial structure
- At the classical level there is no barrier in QCD. The *sphaleron is a quantum effect*
- Transitions between the vacua change chirality (result of the ABJ anomaly).
- All light quark-anti-quark pairs must participate in the reaction
- *Instantons* are tunnelling solutions between the vacua.
- Not described by perturbation theory.



The Optical Theorem approach: to include final state interactions

- Crossection is obtained by squaring the instanton amplitude.
- Final states have been instrumental in combatting the exp. suppression.
- Now also the interactions between the final states (and the improvement on the point-like I-vertex) are taken into account.
- Use the Optical Theorem to compute *Im* part of the 2->2 amplitude in around the Instanton-Antiinstanton configuration.
- Higher and higher energies correspond to shorter and shorter I-Ibar separations R. At R=0 they annihilate to perturbative vacuum.
- The suppression of the EW instanton crosssection is gradually reduced with energy.



VVK & Ringwald 1991

The Optical Theorem approach: to include final state interactions

 Instanton — anti-instanton valley configuration has Q=0; it interpolates between infinitely separated instanton—anti-instanton and the perturbative vacuum at z=0

- Exponential suppression is gradually reduced with energy
- no radiative corrections from hard initial states included in this approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{(\text{cl) inst}} &= \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{4}^{I\bar{I}}(p_{1}, p_{2}, -p_{1}, -p_{2}) \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\rho \int_{0}^{\infty} d\bar{\rho} \int d^{4}R \int d\Omega \ D(\rho)D(\bar{\rho}) \ e^{-S_{I\bar{I}}} \ \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}} \times \\ &A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(p_{1}) A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(p_{2}) A_{LSZ}^{\overline{\text{inst}}}(-p_{1}) A_{LSZ}^{\overline{\text{inst}}}(-p_{2}) , \end{aligned}$$



$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{(\text{cl) inst}} = \frac{1}{s} \text{Im} \, \mathcal{A}_{4}^{I\bar{I}}(p_{1}, p_{2}, -p_{1}, -p_{2})$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{s} \text{Im} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\rho \int_{0}^{\infty} d\bar{\rho} \int d^{4}R \int d\Omega \, D(\rho)D(\bar{\rho}) \, e^{-S_{I\bar{I}}} \, \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}} \times A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(p_{1}) \, A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(p_{2}) \, A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(-p_{1}) \, A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(-p_{2}) \,,$$

$$S(\chi) \simeq 1 - 6/\chi^{4} + 24/\chi^{6} + \dots \qquad S_{I\bar{I}}(\rho, \bar{\rho}, R) = \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{r})} \, \hat{\mathcal{S}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{12} \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{0}^{12} \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{$$

$$D(\rho,\mu_r) = \kappa \frac{1}{\rho^5} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^6 (\rho\mu_r)^{b_0}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{(\text{cl) inst}} = \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_4^{I\bar{I}}(p_1, p_2, -p_1, -p_2)$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty d\rho \int_0^\infty d\bar{\rho} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \ D(\rho) D(\bar{\rho}) \ e^{-S_{I\bar{I}}} \ \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}} \times A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(p_2) \ A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(-p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{\text{inst}}(-p_2) ,$$
formion prototor

fermion prefactor from Nf qq-bar pairs

$$D(\rho,\mu_r) = \kappa \frac{1}{\rho^5} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^6 (\rho\mu_r)^{b_0}$$

$$\sigma_{tot}^{(cl) inst} = \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_4^{I\bar{I}}(p_1, p_2, -p_1, -p_2)$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty d\rho \int_0^\infty d\bar{\rho} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \ D(\rho) D(\bar{\rho}) \ e^{-S_{I\bar{I}}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ferm} \times A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_2) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_2) ,$$
fermion prefactor from Nf qq-bar pairs
$$A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_2) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_2) = \frac{1}{36} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{g} \rho^2 \sqrt{s'}\right)^4 e^{iR \cdot (p_1 + p_2)} e^{iR \cdot (p_1 + p_2)}$$

$$D(\rho, \mu_r) = \kappa \frac{1}{\rho^5} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^6 (\rho\mu_r)^{b_0}$$

$$\sigma_{tot}^{(cl) inst} = \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_4^{I\bar{I}}(p_1, p_2, -p_1, -p_2)$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty d\rho \int_0^\infty d\bar{\rho} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \ D(\rho) D(\bar{\rho}) \ e^{-S_{I\bar{I}}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ferm} \times A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_2) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_2) ,$$
fermion prefactor from Nf qq-bar pairs
$$A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(p_2) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_1) \ A_{LSZ}^{inst}(-p_2) = \frac{1}{36} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{g} \rho^2 \sqrt{s'}\right)^4 e^{iR \cdot (p_1 + p_2)} e^{iR \cdot (p_1 + p_2)}$$

Initial state interactions in the instanton approach





Mueller 1991

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{tot}}^{\text{inst}} \simeq \frac{1}{s'} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\kappa^2 \pi^4}{36 \cdot 4} \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \int \frac{d\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}^5} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^{14} (\rho^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 (\bar{\rho}^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}}$$
$$(\rho\mu_r)^{b_0} (\bar{\rho}\mu_r)^{b_0} \exp\left(R_0 \sqrt{s'} - \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)} \hat{\mathcal{S}}(z) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}{16\pi} (\rho^2 + \bar{\rho}^2) s' \log\left(\frac{s'}{\mu_r^2}\right)\right)$$

.

Instanton size is cut-off by $\sim \sqrt{s}$ this is what sets the effective QCD sphlarenon scale

Mueller's result for quantum corrections due to in-in states interactions

Basically, in QCD one can never reach the effective sphaleron barrier — it's hight grows with the energy.

=> Among other things, no problems with unitarity.

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{tot}}^{\text{inst}} \simeq \frac{1}{s'} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\kappa^2 \pi^4}{36 \cdot 4} \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \int \frac{d\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}^5} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^{14} (\rho^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 (\bar{\rho}^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}}$$
$$(\rho\mu_r)^{b_0} (\bar{\rho}\mu_r)^{b_0} \exp\left(R_0 \sqrt{s'} - \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)} \hat{\mathcal{S}}(z) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}{16\pi} (\rho^2 + \bar{\rho}^2) s' \log\left(\frac{s'}{\mu_r^2}\right)\right)$$

1. Extermise the holy-grail function in the exponent by finding a saddle-point in variables:

$$\mathcal{F} = \rho \chi \sqrt{s} - \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\rho)} \mathcal{S}(\chi) - \frac{\alpha_s(\rho)}{4\pi} \rho^2 s \log(\sqrt{s}\rho)$$

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\alpha_s(\rho)}{4\pi} \sqrt{s\rho}, \qquad \chi = \frac{R}{\rho}$$



$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{tot}}^{\text{inst}} \simeq \frac{1}{s'} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\kappa^2 \pi^4}{36 \cdot 4} \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \int \frac{d\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}^5} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^{14} (\rho^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 (\bar{\rho}^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}}$$
$$(\rho\mu_r)^{b_0} (\bar{\rho}\mu_r)^{b_0} \exp\left(R_0 \sqrt{s'} - \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)} \hat{\mathcal{S}}(z) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}{16\pi} (\rho^2 + \bar{\rho}^2) s' \log\left(\frac{s'}{\mu_r^2}\right)\right)$$

1. Extermise the holy-grail function in the exponent by finding a saddle-point in variables:

$$\mathcal{F} = \rho \chi \sqrt{s} - \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\rho)} \mathcal{S}(\chi) - \frac{\alpha_s(\rho)}{4\pi} \rho^2 s \log(\sqrt{s}\rho)$$

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\alpha_s(\rho)}{4\pi} \sqrt{s\rho}, \qquad \chi = \frac{R}{\rho}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{tot}}^{\text{inst}} \simeq \frac{1}{s'} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\kappa^2 \pi^4}{36 \cdot 4} \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \int \frac{d\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}^5} \int d^4 R \int d\Omega \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}\right)^{14} (\rho^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 (\bar{\rho}^2 \sqrt{s'})^2 \mathcal{K}_{\text{ferm}}$$
$$(\rho\mu_r)^{b_0} (\bar{\rho}\mu_r)^{b_0} \exp\left(R_0 \sqrt{s'} - \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)} \hat{\mathcal{S}}(z) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}{16\pi} (\rho^2 + \bar{\rho}^2) s' \log\left(\frac{s'}{\mu_r^2}\right)\right)$$

1. Extermise the holy-grail function in the exponent by finding a saddle-point in variables: $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\alpha_s(\rho)}{4\pi} \sqrt{s}\rho, \qquad \chi = \frac{R}{\rho}$

3. Pre-factors are very large — they compete with the semiclassical exponent which is very small!

3. Pre-factors are very large — they compete with the semiclassical exponent which is very small!



Results





Criticism of EW sphaleron production in high-E collisions

The sphaleron is a semiclassical configuration with

Size_{sph} ~
$$m_W^{-1}$$
, $E_{sph} = \text{few} \times m_W / \alpha_W \simeq 10 \text{ TeV}.$

It is 'made out' of ~ $1/\alpha_W$ particles (i.e. it decays into ~ $1/\alpha_W$ W's, Z's, H's).

 $2_{\text{initial hard partons}} \rightarrow \text{Sphaleron} \rightarrow (\sim 1/\alpha_W)_{\text{soft final quanta}}$

The sphaleron production out of 2 hard partons is unlikely.



Fig. 3. "You can't make a fish in a pp̄ collider." from Mattis PRpts 1991 But in QCD instantons are small [A `small fish' compared to the EW case]

This criticism does not apply to our QCD calculation

Results a) Instanton size



Results b) <number of gluons>



Results c) partonic cross-section



$\sqrt{s'}$ (GeV)	$ ho^{inverse}(GeV)$	$\alpha_{\rm s}[ho]$	n _g	$\hat{\sigma}_{ ext{tot}}(ext{pb})$
40.77	2.718	0.2669	6.471	1.110×10^{5}
56.07	3.504	0.2449	6.915	1.105×10^4
61.84	3.638	0.2235	7.280	3145.
89.63	4.979	0.2058	7.670	107.7
118.0	6.212	0.1950	8.248	9.275
174.4	8.720	0.1804	8.604	0.2413
246.9	11.76	0.1693	9.045	0.009685
349.9	15.90	0.1594	9.486	0.0003907
496.3	21.58	0.1504	9.928	0.00001588
704.8	29.37	0.1424	10.37	6.440×10^{-7}
1002.	40.07	0.1351	10.81	2.500×10^{-8}