



Exercise 3

1. Assume Θ_i with $i = 1, \dots, N$ to be N anti-commuting, Grassmann, numbers. Then

$$\{\Theta_i, \Theta_j\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \frac{d}{d\Theta_i}, \Theta_j \right\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \left\{ \frac{d}{d\Theta_i}, \frac{d}{d\Theta_j} \right\} = 0$$

hold true. As rules for differentiation we use

$$\frac{d}{d\Theta_i} 1 = 0, \quad \frac{d}{d\Theta_i} \Theta_j = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\Theta_i} \Theta_j \Theta_k = \delta_{ij} \Theta_k - \delta_{ik} \Theta_j.$$

For the integration involving Grassmann numbers we require

$$\int d\Theta_i 1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int d\Theta_i \Theta_i = 1, \quad \text{with} \quad \{d\Theta_i, d\Theta_j\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{d\Theta_i, \Theta_j\} = \delta_{ij}.$$

As for the case of differentiation the order of differentials and variables is important,

$$\int d\Theta_1 d\Theta_2 \Theta_1 \Theta_2 = - \int d\Theta_1 d\Theta_2 \Theta_2 \Theta_1 = - \int d\Theta_2 d\Theta_1 \Theta_1 \Theta_2 = -1.$$

With the rules given, calculate the integrals

$$\int d\Theta_1 d\Theta_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\Theta}^T A \vec{\Theta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int d\Theta_1 d\Theta_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\Theta}^T A \vec{\Theta} + \vec{\rho}^T \vec{\Theta}},$$

with A an anti-symmetric 2×2 matrix, $\vec{\Theta}^T = (\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$ and $\vec{\rho}$ a two-component vector of Grassmann variables. Compare your result to the case when using commuting variables and a symmetric matrix instead.

2. Show that

$$K(\vec{x}, t; \vec{x}', t_0) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i(t - t_0)} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(\frac{im(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')^2}{2(t - t_0)} \right) \Theta(t - t_0)$$

is a Greens function of the free Schrödinger equation.

3. Proof that the charged Klein-Gordon field

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2k_0}} \left(\hat{a}(\vec{k}) e^{-ikx} + \hat{b}^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{ikx} \right)$$

when quantized using the anti-commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')\} &= \{\hat{b}(\vec{k}), \hat{b}^\dagger(\vec{k}')\} = \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'), \\ \{\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}(\vec{k}')\} &= \{\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')\} = \{\hat{b}(\vec{k}), \hat{b}(\vec{k}')\} = \{\hat{b}^\dagger(\vec{k}), \hat{b}^\dagger(\vec{k}')\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

violates micro-causality.

(turn over)

Remarks:

- To proof that micro-causality is violated, one has to show that the commutator of two observables does not vanish for space-like separations $(x - y)^2 < 0$. Therefore, express $[\hat{\phi}^\dagger(x)\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(y)\hat{\phi}(y)]$ in terms of

$$i\Delta_1(x - y) \equiv \{\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(y)\},$$

and then evaluate Δ_1 . Note that it is sufficient to consider the case $x_0 = y_0$.

- When applying the transformation $\tilde{q} = m \sinh t$ to the integral

$$\int_0^\infty d\tilde{q} \frac{\tilde{q} \sin(\tilde{q}\tilde{x})}{\sqrt{\tilde{q}^2 + m^2}}$$

it arises to be equal to the MacDonald function $K_1(m\tilde{x})$ (a modified Bessel function of the second type). In the limit of large $m\tilde{x}$ the function becomes $K_1(m\tilde{x}) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m\tilde{x}}} e^{-m\tilde{x}}$.