

Twist-2 from QCD sum rules

Roman Zwicky



IPPP (Durham University)

Contents

1. Definition twist-2 matrix elements

- conformal expansion of DA
- Gegenbauer moments a_n from local (hadronic) matrix elements
⇒ non-pert methods

Contents

1. Definition twist-2 matrix elements
 - conformal expansion of DA
 - Gegenbauer moments a_n from local (hadronic) matrix elements
⇒ non-pert methods
2. e.g. QCD sum rules

Contents

1. Definition twist-2 matrix elements
 - conformal expansion of DA
 - Gegenbauer moments a_n from local (hadronic) matrix elements
⇒ non-pert methods
2. e.g. QCD sum rules
3. a_0 lowest moment or decay constant

Contents

1. Definition twist-2 matrix elements
 - conformal expansion of DA
 - Gegenbauer moments a_n from local (hadronic) matrix elements
⇒ non-pert methods
2. e.g. QCD sum rules
3. a_0 lowest moment or decay constant
4. a_1 first moment or SU(3)-breaking
 - diagonal sum rules
 - operator method

Contents

1. Definition twist-2 matrix elements
 - conformal expansion of DA
 - Gegenbauer moments a_n from local (hadronic) matrix elements
⇒ non-pert methods
2. e.g. QCD sum rules
3. a_0 lowest moment or decay constant
4. a_1 first moment or SU(3)-breaking
 - diagonal sum rules
 - operator method
5. a_2 second moment or first characteristic of G-def particles

Contents

1. Definition twist-2 matrix elements
 - conformal expansion of DA
 - Gegenbauer moments a_n from local (hadronic) matrix elements
⇒ non-pert methods
2. e.g. QCD sum rules
3. a_0 lowest moment or decay constant
4. a_1 first moment or SU(3)-breaking
 - diagonal sum rules
 - operator method
5. a_2 second moment or first characteristic of G-def particles
6. Summary, Conclusions – Questions

1. light meson DA, leading twist-2 (heavy e.g. B-DA (V.Braun))

- “non-local extension of **decay constant** matrix elements”
“twist” = dim - spin

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}(z) \not{z} \gamma_5 [z, -z] s(-z) | K(q) \rangle_\mu = i \textcolor{blue}{f}_K q z \int_0^1 du e^{i \xi q z} \phi_K(u, \mu) + O(m_K^2, z^2)$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}(z) \not{z} [z, -z] s(-z) | K^*(q) \rangle_\mu = (ez) \textcolor{blue}{f}_K^{\parallel} m_{K^*} \int_0^1 du e^{i \xi q z} \phi_K^{\parallel}(u, \mu),$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}(z) \sigma_{\mu\nu} [z, -z] s(-z) | K^*(q) \rangle_\mu = i(e_\mu q_\nu - e_\nu^{(\lambda)} q_\mu) \textcolor{blue}{f}_K^{\perp}(\mu) \int_0^1 du e^{i \xi q z} \phi_K^{\perp}(u, \mu),$$

- [$z, -z$] being the Wilson line (gauge invariance)
- z_μ close light-like separation
- $\xi = u - (1-u) \cdot u, (1-u)$ interpretation collinear momentum fraction of quark

1. light meson DA, leading twist-2 (heavy e.g. B-DA (**V.Braun**))

- “non-local extension of **decay constant** matrix elements”
“twist” = dim - spin

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0 | \bar{q}(z) \not{z} \gamma_5 [z, -z] s(-z) | K(q) \rangle_\mu &= i \textcolor{blue}{f}_K q z \int_0^1 du e^{i \xi q z} \phi_K(u, \mu) + O(m_K^2, z^2) \\ \langle 0 | \bar{q}(z) \not{z} [z, -z] s(-z) | K^*(q) \rangle_\mu &= (e z) \textcolor{blue}{f}_K^{\parallel} m_{K^*} \int_0^1 du e^{i \xi q z} \phi_K^{\parallel}(u, \mu), \\ \langle 0 | \bar{q}(z) \sigma_{\mu\nu} [z, -z] s(-z) | K^*(q) \rangle_\mu &= i(e_\mu q_\nu - e_\nu^{(\lambda)} q_\mu) \textcolor{blue}{f}_K^{\perp}(\mu) \int_0^1 du e^{i \xi q z} \phi_K^{\perp}(u, \mu),\end{aligned}$$

- [$z, -z$] being the Wilson line (gauge invariance)
- z_μ close light-like separation
- $\xi = u - (1-u) \cdot u, (1-u)$ interpretation collinear momentum fraction of quark
- Corrections higher twist: (classific. e.o.m. state art (**P.Ball I**))
 - higher Fock states ($\langle 0 | \bar{q} \sigma \cdot G s | K \rangle$)
 - deviation from the light-cone ($O(x^2, m_\pi^2)$)
 - other comb. of “good” and “bad” LC-states ($\langle 0 | \bar{q} \gamma_5 s | K \rangle$)

How to deal $\phi_K(u, \mu)$ etc – non-pert. object ?

- Model ansatz obeying theo. & exp. constraints (P. Ball II)

How to deal $\phi_K(u, \mu)$ etc – non-pert. object ?

- Model ansatz obeying theo. & exp. constraints (P. Ball II)
- Use conformal symmetry (D.Muller) LO massless QCD, expand in Gegenbauer Pol.

$$\phi_K(u, \mu) = 6u\bar{u}(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\mu, K) C_n^{3/2}(2u - 1))$$

- a_n Gegenbauer moments (its det. main topic of this talk)
- $a_{2n+1} = 0$ particles def. G-parity (e.g. $a_1(\pi) = 0$ not $a_1(K) \neq 0$)
- no mixing at LO ($C_n(2u - 1)$ eigen-fct LO evolution kernel)
- anomalous dimension $\gamma_{n+1} > \gamma_n > 0$ “conformal hierarchy”
(Asymptotic DA $\phi_K(u) \xrightarrow{\mu \rightarrow \infty} 6u(1 - u)$ known from P-QCD)
- Alternative reasoning $SL(2, R)$ collinear subgroup of conformal group $SO(4, 2)$
 C_n are representations with conformal spin $j = 2 + n$ (good q-number LO)
Strong analogy partial wave exp. $[SO(3), Y_{lm}] \sim [SL(2, R), C_n]$
 C_n n-nodes higher n washed out upon convolution smooth kernel

How to deal $\phi_K(u, \mu)$ etc – non-pert. object ?

- Model ansatz obeying theo. & exp. constraints (P. Ball II)
- Use conformal symmetry (D.Muller) LO massless QCD, expand in Gegenbauer Pol.

$$\phi_K(u, \mu) = 6u\bar{u}(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\mu, K) C_n^{3/2}(2u - 1))$$

- a_n Gegenbauer moments (its det. main topic of this talk)
 - $a_{2n+1} = 0$ particles def. G-parity (e.g. $a_1(\pi) = 0$ not $a_1(K) \neq 0$)
 - no mixing at LO ($C_n(2u - 1)$ eigen-fct LO evolution kernel)
 - anomalous dimension $\gamma_{n+1} > \gamma_n > 0$ “conformal hierarchy”
(Asymptotic DA $\phi_K(u) \xrightarrow{\mu \rightarrow \infty} 6u(1 - u)$ known from P-QCD)
 - Alternative reasoning $SL(2, R)$ collinear subgroup of conformal group $SO(4, 2)$
 C_n are representations with conformal spin $j = 2 + n$ (good q-number LO)
Strong analogy partial wave exp. $[SO(3), Y_{lm}] \sim [SL(2, R), C_n]$
 C_n n-nodes higher n washed out upon convolution smooth kernel
- Point d.& e. a priori arguments justify truncation
A posteriori justification ... smoothness kernels & numerical values of moments

Determination of Gegenbauer moments a_1, a_2, \dots

- Fit to an observable (experimental constraints) (A.Khodjamirian & N.Stefanis I)

Determination of Gegenbauer moments a_1, a_2, \dots

- Fit to an observable (experimental constraints) (A.Khodjamirian & N.Stefanis I)
- Direct calculation from the matrix elements

$$\langle 0 | \bar{s} z_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (iz \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D})^n q | K(p) \rangle = (zp)^{n+1} f_K 2 \int_0^1 du (2u-1)^n \phi_K(u) \equiv N \cdot M_n$$

$$M_0 = 1 \quad M_2 = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{12}{35} a_2$$

$$M_1 = a_1 \quad M_4 = \frac{3}{35} + \frac{8}{35} a_2 + \frac{8}{77} a_4$$

⇒ calc. local (hadronic) matrix element ⇒ non-pert. methods

Determination of Gegenbauer moments a_1, a_2, \dots

- Fit to an observable (experimental constraints) (A.Khodjamirian & N.Stefanis I)
- Direct calculation from the matrix elements

$$\langle 0 | \bar{s} z_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (iz \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D})^n q | K(p) \rangle = (zp)^{n+1} f_K 2 \int_0^1 du (2u-1)^n \phi_K(u) \equiv N \cdot M_n$$

$$M_0 = 1 \quad M_2 = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{12}{35} a_2$$

$$M_1 = a_1 \quad M_4 = \frac{3}{35} + \frac{8}{35} a_2 + \frac{8}{77} a_4$$

\Rightarrow calc. local (hadronic) matrix element \Rightarrow non-pert. methods

- QCD sum rules (this talk)
- Lattice-QCD (L.Del Debbio & A.Juettner)
- non-local condensates (N.Stefanis II)
- Instanton vacuum model (not covered)
- Dyson-Schwinger (yet unexplored)

2. QCD sum rule ... tool estimating low hadr. param. low lying states

- Start suitable correlation function ($\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \gamma_5 \Rightarrow$ extraction $a_1(K)$)

$$\Pi(q) = i \int_x \langle 0 | T[\bar{q}(i \not{D}_\mu) \Gamma_1 s](x) [\bar{s} \Gamma_2 q](0) | 0 \rangle e^{iqx}$$

Hadronic world: inserting a complete set of states $1 = \sum |K\rangle\langle K| + \dots$

$$\Pi(q) \sim \textcolor{red}{a_1} \frac{f_K^2}{q^2 - m_K^2} + \text{higher states}$$

Quark-gluon world: Operator product expansion for virtualities $-q^2 << \Lambda_{QCD}^2$

$$\Pi(q) \sim c^1(q^2) + \frac{c^{\bar{q}q}}{q^4} \langle \bar{m}_s qq \rangle + \dots$$

2. QCD sum rule ... tool estimating low hadr. param. low lying states

- Start suitable correlation function ($\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \gamma_5 \Rightarrow$ extraction $a_1(K)$)

$$\Pi(q) = i \int_x \langle 0 | T[\bar{q}(i \not{D}_\mu) \Gamma_1 s](x) [\bar{s} \Gamma_2 q](0) | 0 \rangle e^{iqx}$$

Hadronic world: inserting a complete set of states $1 = \sum |K\rangle\langle K| + \dots$

$$\Pi(q) \sim \textcolor{red}{a_1} \frac{f_K^2}{q^2 - m_K^2} + \text{higher states}$$

Quark-gluon world: Operator product expansion for virtualities $-q^2 << \Lambda_{QCD}^2$

$$\Pi(q) \sim c^1(q^2) + \frac{c^{\bar{q}q}}{q^4} \langle \bar{m}_s qq \rangle + \dots$$

- Estimate “higher states” by analytic continuation

N.B. non-trivial bec. OPE truncated (ok smearing over interval .. Quark-Hadron Duality)
numerics improved applying Borel transformation \Rightarrow extract $\textcolor{red}{a_1}$

... continuation

- For higher n the convergence OPE breaks down parametrically: $c^1 \sim O(n^{-2})$, $\langle G^2 \rangle \sim O(1)$, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \sim O(n)$ etc
 - only calculate lowest moments up to $n = 2$
 - intuitively understood, higher a_n more and more non-local objects and therefore OPE diff. converge
 - also problematic lattice ... derivatives CPU-cons., moments M_n background e.g.
$$M_4 = \frac{3}{35} + \frac{8}{35}a_2 + \frac{8}{77}a_4$$
 - other methods ? non-local condensates

... continuation

- ➊ For higher n the convergence OPE breaks down
 - parametrically: $c^1 \sim O(n^{-2})$, $\langle G^2 \rangle \sim O(1)$, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \sim O(n)$ etc
 - only calculate lowest moments up to $n = 2$
 - intuitively understood, higher a_n more and more non-local objects and therefore OPE diff. converge
 - also problematic lattice ... derivatives CPU-cons., moments M_n background e.g.
$$M_4 = \frac{3}{35} + \frac{8}{35}a_2 + \frac{8}{77}a_4$$
 - other methods ? non-local condensates
 - ➋ effective method calculating a_n in one go via non local operator
(Ball & Boglione 03)

3. The decay constants or the a_0

- ● pseudoscalars O^- : f_π, f_K well known experiment ($f_\eta, f_{\eta'}$ (T.Feldmann I))
- ● vectors 1^- : f_ρ^\parallel etc experiment (mixing ...)
- ● $f_\rho^\perp(\mu)$ etc rely on theory
Penguin transition $b \rightarrow (s, d)$ ($B \rightarrow K^*, \rho(\omega)$) formfactors described LCSR (DA)
Bulk part extracting $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ (Ball & RZ JHEP 06)

3. The decay constants or the a_0

- ● pseudoscalars O^- : f_π, f_K well known experiment ($f_\eta, f_{\eta'}$ (T.Feldmann I))
- ● vectors 1^- : f_ρ^\parallel etc experiment (mixing ...)
- ● $f_\rho^\perp(\mu)$ etc rely on theory
Penguin transition $b \rightarrow (s, d)$ ($B \rightarrow K^*, \rho(\omega)$) formfactors described LCSR (DA)
Bulk part extracting $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ (Ball & RZ JHEP 06)
- Longitudinal decay constants from experiment

- tau-decays $\tau^- \rightarrow V^- \nu_\tau$

$$f_{\rho^-} = (210 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_\Gamma) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_{K^{*-}} = (220 \pm 4_{\text{Br}} \pm 2_\Gamma \pm 1_{|V_{us}|}) \cdot \text{MeV},$$

- electromagnetic annihilation $V^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ Mixing !

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\rho^0} &= (222 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_\Gamma) \cdot \text{MeV} \\ f_\omega &= (187 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_\Gamma \pm 4_{\omega\phi} \pm 1_{\rho\omega}) \cdot \text{MeV} \\ f_\phi &= (215 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_\Gamma \pm 4_{\omega\phi}) \cdot \text{MeV} , \end{aligned}$$

3. The decay constants or the a_0

- ● pseudoscalars O^- : f_π, f_K well known experiment ($f_\eta, f_{\eta'}$ (T.Feldmann I))
- ● vectors 1^- : f_ρ^\parallel etc experiment (mixing ...)
- ● $f_\rho^\perp(\mu)$ etc rely on theory
Penguin transition $b \rightarrow (s, d)$ ($B \rightarrow K^*, \rho(\omega)$) formfactors described LCSR (DA)
Bulk part extracting $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ (Ball & RZ JHEP 06)
- Longitudinal decay constants from experiment

- ● tau-decays $\tau^- \rightarrow V^- \nu_\tau$

$$f_{\rho^-} = (210 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_{\Gamma}) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_{K^* -} = (220 \pm 4_{\text{Br}} \pm 2_{\Gamma} \pm 1_{|V_{us}|}) \cdot \text{MeV},$$

- ● electromagnetic annihilation $V^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ Mixing !

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\rho^0} &= (222 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_{\Gamma}) \cdot \text{MeV} \\ f_\omega &= (187 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_{\Gamma} \pm 4_{\omega\phi} \pm 1_{\rho\omega}) \cdot \text{MeV} \\ f_\phi &= (215 \pm 2_{\text{Br}} \pm 1_{\Gamma} \pm 4_{\omega\phi}) \cdot \text{MeV} , \end{aligned}$$

- .
 1. literature sometimes mixing neglected, uncertainty estimate
 2. slight tension (Ball & Braun 96) $f_{\rho^-} = 195 \pm 7 \cdot \text{MeV}$, $f_{\rho^0} = 216 \pm 5 \cdot \text{MeV}$, isospin ?

... continued

QCD sum rules (Ball & RZ 05/06)

$$f_\rho = (206 \pm 7) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_\rho^\perp(1 \text{ GeV}) = (165 \pm 9) \cdot \text{MeV}$$

$$f_{K^*} = (222 \pm 8) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_{K^*}^\perp(1 \text{ GeV}) = (185 \pm 10) \cdot \text{MeV}$$

($f_{K^*}^\perp$ non-trivial second resonance K_1 needs added for stability)

$$\left(\frac{f_\rho^\perp}{f_\rho^\parallel} \right)_{\text{SR}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.69 \pm 0.04 \quad \left(\frac{f_{K^*}^\perp}{f_{K^*}^\parallel} \right)_{\text{SR}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.73 \pm 0.04$$

... continued

QCD sum rules (Ball & RZ 05/06)

$$f_\rho = (206 \pm 7) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_\rho^\perp(1 \text{ GeV}) = (165 \pm 9) \cdot \text{MeV}$$

$$f_{K^*} = (222 \pm 8) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_{K^*}^\perp(1 \text{ GeV}) = (185 \pm 10) \cdot \text{MeV}$$

($f_{K^*}^\perp$ non-trivial second resonance K_1 needs added for stability)

$$\left(\frac{f_\rho^\perp}{f_\rho^\parallel} \right)_{\text{SR}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.69 \pm 0.04 \quad \left(\frac{f_{K^*}^\perp}{f_{K^*}^\parallel} \right)_{\text{SR}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.73 \pm 0.04$$

Lattice QCD (quenched) (Becirevic et al 03)

$$\left(\frac{f_\rho^\perp}{f_\rho^\parallel} \right)_{\text{latt}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.72 \pm 0.02 \quad \left(\frac{f_{K^*}^\perp}{f_{K^*}^\parallel} \right)_{\text{latt}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.74 \pm 0.02$$

... continued

QCD sum rules (Ball & RZ 05/06)

$$f_\rho = (206 \pm 7) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_\rho^\perp(1 \text{ GeV}) = (165 \pm 9) \cdot \text{MeV}$$

$$f_{K^*} = (222 \pm 8) \cdot \text{MeV} \quad f_{K^*}^\perp(1 \text{ GeV}) = (185 \pm 10) \cdot \text{MeV}$$

($f_{K^*}^\perp$ non-trivial second resonance K_1 needs added for stability)

$$\left(\frac{f_\rho^\perp}{f_\rho^\parallel} \right)_{\text{SR}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.69 \pm 0.04 \quad \left(\frac{f_{K^*}^\perp}{f_{K^*}^\parallel} \right)_{\text{SR}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.73 \pm 0.04$$

Lattice QCD (quenched) (Becirevic et al 03)

$$\left(\frac{f_\rho^\perp}{f_\rho^\parallel} \right)_{\text{latt}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.72 \pm 0.02 \quad \left(\frac{f_{K^*}^\perp}{f_{K^*}^\parallel} \right)_{\text{latt}} (2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.74 \pm 0.02$$

Encouraging extra effort would be desirable

Results a_1

Recall: variable u mom. fraction of quarks. $a_1 > 0$ s-quark average mom. higher light q-quark as suggested by intuition from constituent quark model

4. a_1 SU(3) breaking – Sum Rule history

Sum rule: diagonal $\Gamma_{1,2}$ same chirality, non-diagonal opposite ch. $\mu_0 = 1\text{GeV}$

| Type | $a_1(K)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\parallel}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\perp}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | Authors | Remarks |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| ND | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.2 | Chernyak & Zhit. 84 | sign mistake |
| ND | -0.18 | -0.4 | -0.34 | Ball Boglione 03 | NLO,unstable |
| D | 0.05 ± 0.02 | - | - | Khodjamiran et al 04 | - |
| OPR | 0.1 ± 0.12 | 0.1 ± 0.07 | - | Braun Lenz 04 | neglect $O(m_s^2)$ |
| D | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | Ball RZ 05 | confirm 04, exte |
| OPR | 0.07 ± 0.18 | 0.01 ± 0.05 | 0.09 ± 0.07 | Ball RZ 06 | incl $O(m_s^2)$ |

- ND: spectral-fct non-positive def. (cancel. or contam. higher states) / calc easier ! which turns out to be the case \Rightarrow **not consider** anymore

4. a_1 SU(3) breaking – Sum Rule history

Sum rule: diagonal $\Gamma_{1,2}$ same chirality, non-diagonal opposite ch. $\mu_0 = 1\text{GeV}$

| Type | $a_1(K)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\parallel}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\perp}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | Authors | Remarks |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| ND | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.2 | Chernyak & Zhit. 84 | sign mistake |
| ND | -0.18 | -0.4 | -0.34 | Ball Boglione 03 | NLO,unstable |
| D | 0.05 ± 0.02 | - | - | Khodjamiran et al 04 | - |
| OPR | 0.1 ± 0.12 | 0.1 ± 0.07 | - | Braun Lenz 04 | neglect $O(m_s^2)$ |
| D | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | Ball RZ 05 | confirm 04, exten |
| OPR | 0.07 ± 0.18 | 0.01 ± 0.05 | 0.09 ± 0.07 | Ball RZ 06 | incl $O(m_s^2)$ |

- ND: spectral-fct non-positive def. (cancel. or contam. higher states) / calc easier ! which turns out to be the case \Rightarrow **not consider** anymore
- D: pos. def. work fine to be **used for phenomenology**

4. a_1 SU(3) breaking – Sum Rule history

Sum rule: diagonal $\Gamma_{1,2}$ same chirality, non-diagonal opposite ch. $\mu_0 = 1\text{GeV}$

| Type | $a_1(K)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\parallel}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\perp}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | Authors | Remarks |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| ND | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.2 | Chernyak & Zhit. 84 | sign mistake |
| ND | -0.18 | -0.4 | -0.34 | Ball Boglione 03 | NLO,unstable |
| D | 0.05 ± 0.02 | - | - | Khodjamiran et al 04 | - |
| OPR | 0.1 ± 0.12 | 0.1 ± 0.07 | - | Braun Lenz 04 | neglect $O(m_s^2)$ |
| D | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | Ball RZ 05 | confirm 04, exten |
| OPR | 0.07 ± 0.18 | 0.01 ± 0.05 | 0.09 ± 0.07 | Ball RZ 06 | incl $O(m_s^2)$ |

- ND: spectral-fct non-positive def. (cancel. or contam. higher states) / calc easier ! which turns out to be the case \Rightarrow **not consider** anymore
- D: pos. def. work fine to be **used for phenomenology**
- OPR: New method can't compete yet ...

4. a_1 SU(3) breaking – Sum Rule history

Sum rule: diagonal $\Gamma_{1,2}$ same chirality, non-diagonal opposite ch. $\mu_0 = 1\text{GeV}$

| Type | $a_1(K)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\parallel}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | $a_1^{\perp}(K^*)(\mu_0)$ | Authors | Remarks |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| ND | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.2 | Chernyak & Zhit. 84 | sign mistake |
| ND | -0.18 | -0.4 | -0.34 | Ball Boglione 03 | NLO,unstable |
| D | 0.05 ± 0.02 | - | - | Khodjamiran et al 04 | - |
| OPR | 0.1 ± 0.12 | 0.1 ± 0.07 | - | Braun Lenz 04 | neglect $O(m_s^2)$ |
| D | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | Ball RZ 05 | confirm 04, exten |
| OPR | 0.07 ± 0.18 | 0.01 ± 0.05 | 0.09 ± 0.07 | Ball RZ 06 | incl $O(m_s^2)$ |

- ND: spectral-fct non-positive def. (cancel. or contam. higher states) / calc easier ! which turns out to be the case \Rightarrow **not consider** anymore
- D: pos. def. work fine to be **used for phenomenology**
- OPR: New method can't compete yet ...
- confirmed by Lattice-QCD calculations (low uncertainty)

$$a_1(K, 2\text{GeV}) = 0.0453(9)(29) \text{ QCDSF/UKQCD 06} \text{ (W.Schrors)}$$

$$a_1(K, 2\text{GeV}) = 0.055(5) \text{ QCDSF 06} \text{ (A.Juettner)}$$

Operator relations

Operator relations (Braun & Lenz 04, Ball & RZ 06)

$$\frac{9}{5} a_1(K) = - \frac{m_s - m_q}{m_s + m_q} + 4 \frac{m_s^2 - m_q^2}{m_K^2} - 8 \kappa_4(K),$$

$$\frac{3}{5} a_1^\parallel(K^*) = - \frac{f_K^\perp}{f_K^\parallel} \frac{m_s - m_q}{m_{K^*}} + 2 \frac{m_s^2 - m_q^2}{m_{K^*}^2} - 4 \kappa_4^\parallel(K^*),$$

$$\frac{3}{5} a_1^\perp(K^*) = - \frac{f_K^\parallel}{f_K^\perp} \frac{m_s - m_q}{2m_{K^*}} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{m_s^2 - m_q^2}{m_{K^*}^2} + 6 \kappa_4^\perp(K^*),$$

Operator relations

Operator relations (Braun & Lenz 04, Ball & RZ 06)

$$\frac{9}{5} a_1(K) = -\frac{m_s - m_q}{m_s + m_q} + 4 \frac{m_s^2 - m_q^2}{m_K^2} - 8 \kappa_4(K),$$

$$\frac{3}{5} a_1^\parallel(K^*) = -\frac{f_K^\perp}{f_K^\parallel} \frac{m_s - m_q}{m_{K^*}} + 2 \frac{m_s^2 - m_q^2}{m_{K^*}^2} - 4 \kappa_4^\parallel(K^*),$$

$$\frac{3}{5} a_1^\perp(K^*) = -\frac{f_K^\parallel}{f_K^\perp} \frac{m_s - m_q}{2m_{K^*}} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{m_s^2 - m_q^2}{m_{K^*}^2} + 6 \kappa_4^\perp(K^*),$$

First Gegenbauer moment related to twist-4 matrix elements, N.B. $\kappa_4 \rightarrow 0$ for $m_s \rightarrow m_q$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}(gG_{\alpha\mu}) i\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s | K(q) \rangle = iq_\alpha f_K m_K^2 \kappa_4(K),$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}(gG_{\alpha\mu}) i\gamma^\mu s | K^*(q) \rangle = e_\alpha^{(\lambda)} f_K^\parallel m_{K^*}^3 \kappa_4^\parallel(K^*),$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}(gG_\alpha{}^\mu) \sigma_{\beta\mu} s | K^*(q) \rangle = f_K^\perp m_{K^*}^2 \left\{ \kappa_4^\perp(K^*) (e_\alpha q_\beta - e_\beta q_\alpha) + \dots \right\}$$

Estimate κ_4 then we get an estimate of a_1 .

Deriving relations

- Method 1. non-flavour singlet QCD energy momentum tensor (Braun & Lenz 04)

$$O_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{q}\gamma_\mu i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu s + \frac{1}{2}\bar{q}\gamma_\nu i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu s - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\bar{q}i\overleftrightarrow{D}s$$

and then

$$\langle 0 | \partial_\mu O_\nu^\mu | K^* \rangle \stackrel{e.o.m}{=} \dots$$

- Leads to equation $a_1^{\parallel}(K^*)$, (for $K \gamma_\mu \rightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5$)
- Equation $a_1(K^*)^\perp$ difficult with this method ?

Deriving relations

- Method 1. non-flavour singlet QCD energy momentum tensor (Braun & Lenz 04)

$$O_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{q}\gamma_\mu i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu s + \frac{1}{2}\bar{q}\gamma_\nu i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu s - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\bar{q}i\overleftrightarrow{D}s$$

and then

$$\langle 0 | \partial_\mu O_\nu^\mu | K^* \rangle \stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{=} \dots$$

- Leads to equation $a_1^{\parallel}(K^*)$, (for $K \gamma_\mu \rightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5$)
- Equation $a_1(K^*)^\perp$ difficult with this method ?
- Method 2. Derive directly from e.o.m. matrix elements (Ball & RZ 06)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \bar{q}(x)\gamma_\mu(\gamma_5)s(-x) &= -i \int_{-1}^1 dv v\bar{q}_1(x)x_\alpha gG^{\alpha\mu}(vx)\gamma_\mu(\gamma_5)q_2(-x) \\ &\quad + (m_q \pm m_s)\bar{q}_1(x)i(\gamma_5)q_2(-x) \end{aligned}$$

take matrix element $\langle 0 | \dots | K \rangle$..(involves other e.o.m. total transl. der.)
allows to get $a_1^\perp(K^*)$ on same footing as others

...continued

- The $(\kappa_4)'$ s are estimated via several QCD Sum Rules, not very stable sensitive to $m_s, \alpha_s, \langle \bar{s}s \rangle / \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$

...continued

- The $(\kappa_4)'$ s are estimated via several QCD Sum Rules, not very stable sensitive to $m_s, \alpha_s, \langle \bar{s}s \rangle / \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$
- $(\kappa_4)'$ s estimated any other non-pert approach
 m_s might spoil the numerics ?

5. Second Gegenbauer moment a_2

- No dramatic history like $a_1 \dots O(m_s)$ or $O(m_s^2)$ not crucial

$$a_2(\pi, 1\text{GeV}) = 0.26_{-0.09}^{+0.21} \quad \text{Khodjamirian, Mannel\&Melcher(04)}$$

$$a_2(\pi, 1\text{GeV}) = 0.28 \pm 0.08 \quad \text{Ball, Braun\&Lenzetal(06)}$$

(consistent prel. Lattice-QCD)

the same authors find

$$a_2(K)/a_2(\pi) = 1.05 \pm 0.15$$

(preliminary Lattice-QCD ~ 0.9)

\Rightarrow SU(3)-breaking in second coefficient small

5. Second Gegenbauer moment a_2

- No dramatic history like $a_1 \dots O(m_s)$ or $O(m_s^2)$ not crucial

$$a_2(\pi, 1\text{GeV}) = 0.26^{+0.21}_{-0.09} \quad \text{Khodjamirian, Mannel\&Melcher(04)}$$

$$a_2(\pi, 1\text{GeV}) = 0.28 \pm 0.08 \quad \text{Ball, Braun\&Lenzetal(06)}$$

(consistent prel. Lattice-QCD)

the same authors find

$$a_2(K)/a_2(\pi) = 1.05 \pm 0.15$$

(preliminary Lattice-QCD ~ 0.9)

\Rightarrow SU(3)-breaking in second coefficient small

- η and η' (T.Feldmann | ??)

5. Second Gegenbauer moment a_2

- No dramatic history like $a_1 \dots O(m_s)$ or $O(m_s^2)$ not crucial

$$a_2(\pi, 1\text{GeV}) = 0.26_{-0.09}^{+0.21} \quad \text{Khodjamirian, Mannel\&Melcher(04)}$$

$$a_2(\pi, 1\text{GeV}) = 0.28 \pm 0.08 \quad \text{Ball, Braun\&Lenzetal(06)}$$

(consistent prel. Lattice-QCD)

the same authors find

$$a_2(K)/a_2(\pi) = 1.05 \pm 0.15$$

(preliminary Lattice-QCD ~ 0.9)

\Rightarrow SU(3)-breaking in second coefficient small

- η and η' (T.Feldmann I ??)
- vector mesons .. update coming Ball et al (06?)

Conclusions, Summary & Questions

Summary

- light mesons conformal symmetry \Rightarrow ordering coefficient calculable from local hadronic matrix elements \Rightarrow non-pert. methods
- lower moments a_n results $n = 2$, higher more diff. less interesting
- a_1 confusion .. settled,new operator method
- desirable have results for f^\perp from lattice-QCD phenomenologically important exclusive $b- > (d, s)$ penguin transitions

Conclusions, Summary & Questions

Summary

- light mesons conformal symmetry \Rightarrow ordering coefficient calculable from local hadronic matrix elements \Rightarrow non-pert. methods
- lower moments a_n results $n = 2$, higher more diff. less interesting
- a_1 confusion .. settled, new operator method
- desirable have results for f^\perp from lattice-QCD
phenomenologically important exclusive $b^- > (d, s)$ penguin transitions

Questions:

- Knowing $\phi_\pi(u)$ could we say sthg about $\phi_{\pi'}(u)$
- how finite width $\Gamma_\rho = 150$ MeV enter into ϕ_ρ , Breit-Wigner modelling
- To what degree could we expect $a_2(\pi) \sim a_2(\rho)$ or same sign etc
e.g. Chernyak & Zhitnitsky suggest. LO-SR
 $f_\rho > f_K > f_\pi$ $M_2^\pi < M_2^K < M_2^\rho$
more qualitative understanding

Conclusions, Summary & Questions

Summary

- light mesons conformal symmetry \Rightarrow ordering coefficient calculable from local hadronic matrix elements \Rightarrow non-pert. methods
- lower moments a_n results $n = 2$, higher more diff. less interesting
- a_1 confusion .. settled, new operator method
- desirable have results for f^\perp from lattice-QCD
phenomenologically important exclusive $b^- > (d, s)$ penguin transitions

Questions:

- Knowing $\phi_\pi(u)$ could we say sthg about $\phi_{\pi'}(u)$
- how finite width $\Gamma_\rho = 150$ MeV enter into ϕ_ρ , Breit-Wigner modelling
- To what degree could we expect $a_2(\pi) \sim a_2(\rho)$ or same sign etc
e.g. Chernyak & Zhitnitsky suggest. LO-SR
 $f_\rho > f_K > f_\pi$ $M_2^\pi < M_2^K < M_2^\rho$
more qualitative understanding

● *Thanks for attention and interest !*