## **Baryon Distribution Amplitudes:**



### Alexander Lenz

University of Regensburg

in collaboration with

V. Braun, R. Fries, N. Mahnke, A. Peters, G. Peters, A. Radyushkin, E. Stein and M. Wittmann



### Form factors from Baryon DAs via LCSR

- Basic idea
- Example: Em form factors of the nucleon
- L.H.S. of the sum rule
- R.H.S. of the sum rule
- Combining L. & R.H.S. of the sum rule

### **Current activities - including higher twist DAs:**

- Literature Overview
- Determining the DA
- Nucleon form factors
- Decays of Baryons

### Outlook



To describe the transition of a baryon B to a baryon N via the current  $j_{\mu}$ 

$$B(P') \xrightarrow{j_{\mu}} N(P), \qquad P' = P - q$$

Start with a correlation function

$$T_{\mu}(P,q) = \int d^4x e^{-ipx} \langle 0|T\{\eta(0)j_{\mu}(x)\}|N(P)\rangle$$

Interpolating field  $\eta$ : "creating B from the vacuum" e.g.  $\eta_{CZ}(x) = \varepsilon^{ijk} \begin{bmatrix} u^i(x)(C\not z) \ u^j(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\gamma_5 \not z) \quad d^k_{\delta}(x) \Rightarrow \mathsf{B} = \mathsf{Proton}$ or  $\eta_{\mathrm{Ioffe}}(x) = \varepsilon^{ijk} \begin{bmatrix} u^i(x)(C\gamma_{\nu}) \ u^j(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\gamma_5 \gamma^{\nu}) \ d^k_{\delta}(x) \Rightarrow \mathsf{B} = \mathsf{Proton}$ 

# $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet \quad \text{Current } j_{\mu} \\ \text{e.g. } j_{\mu}^{\text{em}}(x) = e_{u} \bar{u}(x) \gamma_{\mu} u(x) + e_{d} \bar{d}(x) \gamma_{\mu} d(x) \quad \Rightarrow \text{em form factors} \\ \text{e.g. } j_{\mu}^{\text{weak}}(x) = \bar{u}(x) \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) d(x) \quad \Rightarrow \text{weak decay} \end{array}$

Now: Express  $T_{\mu}$  in two different ways



# **Example: EM form factors of the Nucleon**

■ Rosenbluth-formula (1955) for elastic *e*<sup>-</sup>-N scattering (1 photon exchange)

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right) = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Mott} \left[\frac{G_E^2(Q^2) + \tau G_M^2(Q^2)}{1 + \tau} + 2\tau G_M^2(Q^2) \tan^2 \frac{\theta_e}{2}\right]$$

$$Q^2 = -q^2, \ \tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M^2c^2}, \ \theta_e = \text{ scattering} - \text{angle of } e^-$$

- Electric  $G_E(Q^2)$  and magnetic  $G_M(Q^2)$  Sachs form factors
- Interpretation in Breit frame:
  - $G_E(Q^2)$  Fourier transform of electric charge distribution
  - $G_M(Q^2)$  Fourier transform of magnetization density
- Relation of Dirac and Pauli form factors to Sachs form factors

$$G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2), \qquad G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}F_2(Q^2),$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^n(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = \mu_n = -1.91.$$
  

$$G_M^p(0) = \mu_p = 2.79, \ G_M^p(0) = 2.79, \$$



# L.H.S. of the sum rule

Inserting a full set of one-particle states in the correlation function we obtain

$$T_{\mu}(P,q) = \frac{1}{m_{p}^{2} - (P')^{2}} \sum_{s} \langle 0|\eta_{p}|P',s\rangle\langle P',s|j_{\mu}^{em}|P\rangle + \dots$$

where the dots represent contributions of higher resonances.

The arising matrix elements read

•  $\langle 0|\eta_{\rm CZ}|P\rangle = f_N P z \not z N(P)$  or  $\langle 0|\eta_{\rm Ioffe}|P\rangle = \lambda_1 m_p N(P)$ with the non-perturbative constants  $f_N$ ,  $\lambda_1$ 

 $\Rightarrow T_{\mu} = T_{\mu}(F_1, F_2)$ Which  $\eta$  to use? Determine  $f_N, \lambda_1, ...$ 



# **R.H.S. of the sum rule**

Do all possible Wick-contractions in  $T_{\mu}$ :

$$T_{\mu}(P,q) \propto \int d^4x e^{-ipx} \dots \ \Gamma_1^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_2^{\delta\gamma} 4\langle 0|\epsilon_{ijk} u^i_{\alpha} u^j_{\beta} d^k_{\gamma}|N(P)\rangle$$

and insert the Baryon DA

$$4\langle 0|\epsilon_{ijk}u^i_{\alpha}u^j_{\beta}d^k_{\gamma}|N(P)\rangle = \sum \Gamma_3^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma_4^{\gamma}F$$

with Dirac structures  $\Gamma_i$  and 24 distribution amplitudes FIn the end all DAs can be reduced to eight non-perturbative parameters

$$\Rightarrow T_{\mu} = T_{\mu}(f_N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, V_1^d, A_1^u, f_1^d, f_1^u, f_2^d)$$
  
Determine  $f_N, \lambda_1, \dots$ 



# Combining L.H.S. and R.H.S.

In order to combine the two expressions for  $T_{\mu}$  we perform first a projection

 $\Rightarrow \Lambda_{+}T_{z} = pz \left( m_{p}\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{em}} + \not{q}_{\perp}\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\mathrm{em}} \right) N^{+}(P)$ 

with  $z^2 = 0 = qz; p_\mu = P_\mu - z_\mu m_p^2 / (2Pz); \Lambda^+ = p \not z / (2pz); \Lambda^+ N = N^+$ 

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\text{em}} = \frac{\lambda_{1} F_{2}^{\text{em}}}{m_{p}^{2} - P^{\prime 2}} = -2e_{d} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dx_{3}}{(q - x_{3}P)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1 - x_{3}} dx_{1} 120x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}\delta(1 - x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3})f_{N} + \dots$$

To supress the contributions of higher resonances we perform a Borel trafo

$$F_2^{\text{em}} = 2e_d \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{x_0}^1 \frac{dx}{x} \int_{0}^{1-x} dx_1 120x_1 x_2 x \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x) f_N + \dots$$

# **Current activities - including higher twist DAs**

- The nucleon DA up to twist 6 Braun, Fries, Mahnke, Stein, 2000
- LCSR: em form factors of the nucleon use  $\eta_{CZ}$ ;  $x^2$ -corrections to  $V_1$ Braun, A.L., Mahnke, Stein, 2001
- LCSR: em form factors of the nucleon use isospin conserving η<sub>Im</sub>.
   A.L., Wittmann, Stein, 2003
- LCSR: form factors of the nucleon,  $n \rightarrow p$ use  $\eta_{CZ}, \eta_{Im.}, \eta_{Ioffe}$ ;  $x^2$ -correct. to  $A_1, T_1$ estimate of non-perturbative parameters Braun, A.L., Wittmann, 2006
- LCSR:  $N \rightarrow \Delta$ Braun, A.L., Peters, Radyushkin, 2005

- LCSR:  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p \, l \bar{\nu}$  $x^2$ -corrections to  $V_1, A_1, T_1$ Huang, Wang, 2004
- LCSR: Scalar form-factor of the nucleon use η<sub>CZ</sub>
   Z. Wang, Wan, Yang, 2006
- LCSR: axial and induced pseudoscalar form-factor of the nucleon use η<sub>CZ</sub>
   Z. Wang, Wan, Yang, 2006
- LCSR:  $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda l \bar{\nu}$ Axial  $\Lambda$ -DAs of leading conformal spin Huang, Wang, 2006
- LCSR:  $\Sigma \rightarrow N$ use  $\eta_{Ioffe}$ 
  - Z. Wang, 2006



# **Determining the Nucleon DA upto twist 6 I**

$$\begin{split} 4\langle 0| \, \varepsilon^{ijk} u^i_{\alpha}(a_1x) u^j_{\beta}(a_2x) d^k_{\gamma}(a_3x) \, |P\rangle = \\ & S_1 M C_{\alpha\beta} \left(\gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} + S_2 M^2 C_{\alpha\beta} \left( \not{x} \gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}_1 M \left(\gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta} N_{\gamma} + \mathcal{P}_2 M^2 \left(\gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \not{x} N\right)_{\gamma} \\ & + \left(\mathcal{V}_1 + \frac{x^2 m_N^2}{4} \mathcal{V}_1^M\right) \left(\mathcal{P} C\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left(\gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{V}_2 M \left(\mathcal{P} C\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \not{x} \gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{V}_3 M \left(\gamma_{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} \\ & + \mathcal{V}_4 M^2 \left( \not{x} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{V}_5 M^2 \left( \gamma_{\mu} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( i \sigma^{\mu\nu} x_{\nu} \gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{V}_6 M^3 \left( \not{x} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \not{x} \gamma_5 N\right)_{\gamma} \\ & + \left(\mathcal{A}_1 + \frac{x^2 m_N^2}{4} \mathcal{A}_1^M\right) \left(\mathcal{P} \gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta} N_{\gamma} + \mathcal{A}_2 M \left(\mathcal{P} \gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \not{x} N\right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{A}_3 M \left(\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \gamma^{\mu} N\right)_{\gamma} \\ & + \mathcal{A}_4 M^2 \left( \not{x} \gamma_5 C \right)_{\alpha\beta} N_{\gamma} + \mathcal{A}_5 M^2 \left( \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( i \sigma^{\mu\nu} x_{\nu} N \right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{A}_6 M^3 \left( \not{x} \gamma_5 C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \not{x} N \right)_{\gamma} \\ & + \left(\mathcal{T}_1 + \frac{x^2 m_N^2}{4} \mathcal{T}_1^M\right) \left( i \sigma_{\mu P} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 N \right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{T}_2 M \left( i \sigma_{x P} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 N \right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{T}_3 M (\sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N \right)_{\gamma} \\ & + \mathcal{T}_7 M^2 \left( \sigma_{\mu\nu} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \sigma^{\mu\nu} \not{x} \gamma_5 N \right)_{\gamma} + \mathcal{T}_8 M^3 \left( x^{\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} C \right)_{\alpha\beta} \left( \sigma^{\mu\varrho} x_{\varrho} \gamma_5 N \right)_{\gamma} \\ \end{array} \right)$$

\* The 24 functions  $\mathcal{F}^{(i)} = \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{T}_i$  can be related to 8 LCDAs of twist-3 to twist-6. \* In leading conformal spin we have 3 parameters:  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, f_N$ \* in NL conformal spin we have 5 parameters:  $V_1^d, A_1^u, f_1^d, f_1^u, f_2^d$ \*  $\mathcal{V}_1^M$ : BLMS 2001

\*  $\mathcal{A}_1^M, \mathcal{T}_1^M$ : Huang, Wang 2004; **BLW 2006**.



Definition of the non-perturbative parameters

$$\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} \left[ u^{i}(0)(C\not z) u^{j}(0) \right] \quad (\gamma_{5}\not z) \quad d^{k}_{\delta}(0)|P\rangle = f_{N}pz\not zN(P)$$

$$\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} \left[ u^{i}(0)(C\gamma_{\mu}) u^{j}(0) \right] \quad (\gamma_{5}\gamma^{\mu}) \quad d^{k}_{\delta}(0)|P\rangle = \lambda_{1}m_{N}N(P)$$

$$\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} \left[ u^{i}(0)(C\sigma_{\mu\nu}) u^{j}(0) \right] \quad (\gamma_{5}\sigma^{\mu\nu}) \quad d^{k}_{\delta}(0)|P\rangle = \lambda_{2}m_{N}N(P)$$

 $\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} \left[ u^i(0)(C\not z) \, u^j(0) \right] \quad (\gamma_5 \not z) \quad (iz\vec{D}d^k_\delta)(0)|P\rangle = f_N V_1^d(pz)^2 \not z N(P)$ 

. . .

. . .



# **Determining the Nucleon DA upto twist 6 III**

### • Leading twist: $f_N, V_1^d, A_1^d$

 QCD SR: Chernyak, Zhitnitsky 1984; King, Sachrajda 1987; Gari, Stefanis 1987; Chernyak, Ogloblin, Zhitnitsky 1988, 1989; Bolz, Kroll 1996.

 $f_N = (5.0 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^2$   $A_1^u = 0.38 \pm 0.15$   $V_1^d = 0.23 \pm 0.03$ 

- Lattice: Martinelli, Sachrajda 1989; Aoki et al. 2006.
- ◆ Asymptotic:  $A_1^u = 0$   $V_1^d = 1/3$  ◆ LCSR: BLW 2006  $A_1^u = 0.13$   $V_1^d = 0.30$
- Higher twist
  - leading conformal spin:  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  QCD SR: BFMS 2000, BLW 2006

$$\lambda_1 = -(2.7 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^2$$
  $\lambda_2 = (5.4 \pm 1.9) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^2$ 

• next-to-leading conformal spin:  $f_1^d, f_1^u, f_2^d$ 

Method	$f_1^d$	$f_1^u$	$f_2^d$	authors
QCD SR	$0.40 \pm 0.05$	$0.07 \pm 0.05$	$0.22\pm0.05$	BFMS 2000, BLW 2006
LCSR	0.33	0.09	0.25	BFMS 2000, BLW 2006
asymptotic	0.30	0.10	4/15	



### LCSR for the nucleon form factors

- EM form factors using  $\eta_{CZ}$ : BLMS 2001
  - Higher twist is important
- EM form factors using isospin conserving  $\eta_{IM}$ : LWS 2004
  - $\eta_{CZ}$  leads to unphysical isospin violating effects
- **E**M and weak form factors, compare different  $\eta$ s: **BLW 2006** 
  - surprisingly good description of data

 $\Rightarrow \eta_{\rm Ioffe}$  seems to be the best choice

- Scalar form factor of the nucleon using  $\eta_{CZ}$ : Wang, Wan 2006
- Axial and ps form factor of the nucleon using  $\eta_{CZ}$ : Wang, Wan 2006
  - \*  $\Rightarrow$  use  $\eta_{\text{Ioffe}}$  to compare experiment and LCSR
  - \* FF depend on 5 Parameters  $\lambda_1/f_N, A_1^u, V_1^d, f_1^d, f_1^u$



### **Nucleon electromagnetic form factors**

 $\langle N(P')|j_{\mu}^{\rm em}(0)|N(P)\rangle = \bar{N}(P')\left[\gamma_{\mu}F_{1}(Q^{2}) - i\frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}}{2m_{N}}F_{2}(Q^{2})\right]N(P)$ 



• Leading order LCSR, BLW distribution amplitudes

Braun, Lenz, Wittmann; PRD73 (2006) 094019



### **Nucleon axial vector form factors**

 $\langle N(P')|A_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle = \bar{N}(P') \left[ \gamma_{\mu} G_A(Q^2) - \frac{q_{\mu}}{2m_N} G_P(Q^2) - i \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu}}{2m_N} G_T(Q^2) \right] \gamma_5 N(P)$ 



• Leading order LCSR, BLW distribution amplitudes

Braun, Lenz, Wittmann; PRD73 (2006) 094019



### **LCSR for transitions**

- Huang, Wang, 2004:  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p l \nu$ 
  - Interpolating field:  $\eta_{\Lambda_b} = \epsilon_{ijk} u^i C \not z d^j \cdot \gamma_5 \not z b^k$  vs.  $\eta_{\Lambda_b} = \epsilon_{ijk} u^i C \not z d^j \cdot \gamma_5 \not z h_v^k$
  - Determine  $f_{\Lambda}$  from QCD-SR
  - HQET  $\approx$  1/10 QCD!
- **BLPR 2005:**  $N \rightarrow \Delta$ 
  - Disentangle  $N \rightarrow N^*$  (spin 1/2)
  - Interpolating field:  $\eta_{\Delta} = \epsilon_{ijk} \left( 2u^i C \gamma_{\mu} d^j \cdot \not z u^k + u^i C \gamma_{\mu} u^j \cdot \not z d^k \right)$
- BLW 2006: *n* → *p*
- Huang, Wang, 2006:  $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda l \nu$ 
  - Determine  $\Lambda\text{-}\mathsf{DA}$  up to twist-6 and leading conformal spin
  - Interpolating field:  $\eta_{\Lambda_c} = \epsilon_{ijk} u^i C \gamma_5 \not z d^j \cdot \not z c^k$
  - only tw-3 agrees with experiment
- Wang, 2006:  $\Sigma \rightarrow N$ 
  - Interpolating field:  $\eta_{\Sigma} = \epsilon_{ijk} d^i C \gamma_{\mu} d^j \cdot \gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} s^k$
  - Wang compared results for  $Q^2 = 0$  with the data!









### Nucleon DA

- α<sub>s</sub> corrections to LCSR for form factors of the nucleon,
   Compare LCSR to experiment and fit the non-perturbative parameters
   Braun, A.L., Passek-Kumericki, Peters, in progress
- Lattice determination of the non-perturbative parameters
- Decay of heavy baryons
  - LCSR for  $\Lambda_b \to p \, l \bar{\nu}$ 
    - A.L., Wankerl, in progress
  - LCSR for  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \, l + l$ 
    - A.L., Rohrwild, planned
- LCSR for pion electro-production
   Braun, Ivanov, A.L., Peters, in progress